

L^p Fourier transformation on
non-unimodular locally compact groups

by

Marianne Terp

Matematisk Institut, H.C. Ørstedinstituttet,
Universitetsparken 5, DK-2100 København Ø.

Abstract. Let G be a locally compact group with modular function Δ and left regular representation λ . We define the L^p Fourier transform of a function $f \in L^p(G)$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$, to be essentially the operator $\lambda(f)\Delta^{1/q}$ on $L^2(G)$ (where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$) and show that a generalized Hausdorff-Young theorem holds. To do this, we first treat in detail the spatial L^p spaces $L^p(\psi_0)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, associated with the von Neumann algebra $M = \lambda(G)''$ on $L^2(G)$ and the canonical weight ψ_0 on its commutant. In particular, we discuss isometric isomorphisms of $L^2(\psi_0)$ onto $L^2(G)$ and of $L^1(\psi_0)$ onto the Fourier algebra $A(G)$. Also, we give a characterization of positive definite functions belonging to $A(G)$ among all continuous positive definite functions.

Introduction.

Suppose that G is an abelian locally compact group with dual group \hat{G} . Then the Hausdorff-Young theorem states that if $f \in L^p(G)$, where $1 \leq p \leq 2$, then its Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}(f)$ belongs to $L^q(\hat{G})$, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ (cf. [23, p. 117]). In the case of Fourier series, i.e. when G is the circle group and \hat{G} the integers, this is a classical result due to F. Hausdorff and W. H. Young [24, p. 101]. An extension of this theorem to all unimodular locally compact groups was given by R. A. Kunze [14]. In this paper we shall treat the case of a general, i.e. not necessarily unimodular, locally compact group.

In order to describe our results, we first briefly recall those of [14]. Suppose that f is an integrable function on a unimodular group G . Then we consider the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}(f)$ to be the operator $\lambda(f)$ of left convolution by f on $L^2(G)$. (As pointed out by Kunze [14], this point of view is justified by the fact that in the abelian case $\lambda(f)$ is unitarily equivalent to the operator on $L^2(\hat{G})$ of multiplication by the (ordinary) Fourier transform \hat{f} .) The Fourier transformation maps $L^1(G)$ into the space $L^\infty(G')$, defined as the von Neumann algebra M generated by $\lambda(L^1(G))$. More generally, one can define $\lambda(f)$ as an (unbounded) operator on $L^2(G)$ even for functions f not in $L^1(G)$. It then turns out that λ maps each $L^p(G)$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$, norm-decreasingly into a certain space $L^q(G')$ of closed densely defined operators on $L^2(G)$ (where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$). This is the Hausdorff-Young theorem. Kunze introduced the spaces $L^q(G')$ as spaces

of measurable operators (in the sense of [21]) with respect to the canonical gage on M [14, p. 533]. An equivalent but simpler way of introducing the $L^q(G')$ is to consider the trace φ_0 on M characterized by $\varphi_0(\lambda(h) * \lambda(h)) = \|h\|_2^2$ for certain functions h , and then take $L^q(G')$ to be $L^q(M, \varphi_0)$ as defined by E. Nelson [15], viewing it as a space of " φ_0 -measurable" operators [15, Theorem 5]. (In either case, the L^q spaces obtained are isomorphic to the abstract L^q spaces of J. Dixmier [5] associated with a trace on a von Neumann algebra.)

In the general (non-unimodular) case, φ_0 is no longer a trace, and the lack of adequate spaces L^q into which the $L^p(G)$ were to be mapped for a long time prevented the formulation of a Hausdorff-Young theorem, except for some special cases ([7, §8], [20, Proposition 15]). In [10], however, U. Haagerup constructed abstract L^p spaces corresponding to an arbitrary von Neumann algebra, and combining methods from [10] with the recent theory of spatial derivatives by A. Connes [2], M. Hilsum has developed a spatial theory of L^p spaces [12]. If M is a von Neumann algebra acting on a Hilbert space H and ψ is a weight on its commutant M' , then the elements of $L^p(M, H, \psi)$ are (in general unbounded) operators on H satisfying a certain homogeneity property with respect to ψ . We shall see that when using these spaces (in the particular case of $M = \lambda(G)''$, $H = L^2(G)$, and $\psi =$ the canonical weight on M') and when defining the L^p Fourier transform of an L^p function f to be the operator $\xi \mapsto f * \Delta^{1/q} \xi$ on $L^2(G)$ (where Δ is the modular function of the group), one gets a nice L^p Fourier transformation theory and in particular a Hausdorff-Young theorem.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we fix the notations and describe our set-up. In Section 2, we study the L^p spaces of [12] in our particular case; we give a reformulation of the α -homogeneity property appearing in [2] that does not involve modular automorphism groups and we characterize $L^p(\psi_0)$ -operators among all $(-\frac{1}{p})$ -homogeneous operators. In Section 3, we treat the case $p = 2$ and obtain explicit expressions for the L^2 Fourier transformation $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{P}$, called the Plancherel transformation, as well as for its inverse.

Next, in Section 4, we deal with the case of a general $p \in [1, 2]$; we define the L^p Fourier transformation \mathcal{F}_p , and using interpolation (specifically, the three lines theorem) we prove our version of the Hausdorff-Young theorem.

Finally, in Section 5, we define an L^p Fourier cotransformation $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_p$ taking $L^p(\psi_0)$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$, into $L^q(G)$ and we investigate the relations between cotransformation and Fourier inversion. A detailed study of the $p = 1$ case gives a new characterization of $A(G)_+$ functions among all continuous positive definite functions on G .

1. Preliminaries and notation.

Let G be a locally compact group with left Haar measure dx . We denote by $\mathcal{K}(G)$ the set of continuous functions on G with compact support and by $L^p(G)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the ordinary Lebesgue spaces with respect to dx . The modular function Δ on G is given by

$$\int f(xa^{-1}) dx = \Delta(a) \int f(x) dx$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{X}(G)$ and $a \in G$. For functions f on G we put

$$\begin{aligned} \check{f}(x) &= f(x^{-1}) & , & & \tilde{f}(x) &= \overline{f(x^{-1})} , \\ f^*(x) &= \Delta^{-1}(x) \overline{f(x^{-1})} & , & & (Jf)(x) &= \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x) \overline{f(x^{-1})} , \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in G$. More generally, for each $p \in [1, \infty]$, we define

$$(J_p f)(x) = \Delta^{-1/p}(x) \overline{f(x^{-1})} , \quad x \in G .$$

Then in particular $J_1 f = f^*$, $J_2 f = Jf$, $J_\infty f = \tilde{f}$. Note that for each $p \in [1, \infty]$, the operation J_p is a conjugate linear isometric involution of $L^p(G)$.

We shall often make use of the following non-unimodular version of Young's inequalities for convolution:

Lemma 1.1. (Young's convolution inequalities.) Let

$p_1, p_2, p \in [1, \infty]$ and $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{q_1} = 1$. Assume that $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{p} = 1$. Then for all $f_1 \in L^{p_1}(G)$ and $f_2 \in L^{p_2}(G)$ the convolution product $f_1 *_{\Delta} \frac{1}{q_1} f_2$ exists and belongs to $L^p(G)$, and

$$\|f_1 *_{\Delta} \frac{1}{q_1} f_2\|_p \leq \|f_1\|_{p_1} \|f_2\|_{p_2} .$$

This theorem is well-known in the unimodular case as well as in the special cases $(p_1, p_2, p) = (p_1, q_1, \infty)$ (where it follows from Hölder's inequality), $(p_1, p_2, p) = (1, p, p)$ or $(p_1, p_2, p) = (p, 1, p)$ [11, (20.14)]. The general case has also been noted [13, Remark 2.2]. It can be proved by modifying the proof of [11, (20.18)] or by interpolation from the special cases mentioned above.

For operators T on the Hilbert space $L^2(G)$ we use the notation $D(T)$ (domain of T), $R(T)$ (range of T), $N(T)$ (kernel of T). If T is preclosed, we denote by $[T]$ the closure of T . If T is a positive self-adjoint operator and P the projection onto $N(T)^\perp$, then by definition T^{it} , $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is the partial isometry coinciding with the unitary $(TP)^{it}$ on $N(T)^\perp$ and 0 on $N(T)$. By convention, when speaking of operators, "bounded" always means "bounded and everywhere defined".

We denote by λ and ρ the left and right regular representations of G on $L^2(G)$, i.e. the unitary representations given by

$$(\lambda(x)f)(y) = f(x^{-1}y),$$

$$(\rho(x)f)(y) = \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}(x)f(yx),$$

for all $x, y \in G$ and $f \in L^2(G)$. The corresponding representations of the algebra $L^1(G)$ (as in [4, 13.3]) are given by

$$\lambda(h)f = h * f,$$

$$\rho(h)f = f * \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}h,$$

for all $h \in L^1(G)$ and $f \in L^2(G)$.

We denote by M the von Neumann algebra of operators on $L^2(G)$ generated by $\lambda(G)$ (or $\lambda(\mathcal{K}(G))$, or $\lambda(L^1(G))$). In other words, M is the left von Neumann algebra of $\mathcal{K}(G)$, where $\mathcal{K}(G)$ is considered as a left Hilbert algebra [3, Definition 2.1] with convolution, involution $*$, and the ordinary inner product in $L^2(G)$. The commutant M' of M is the von Neumann algebra generated by $\rho(G)$, and $M' = JMJ$.

A function $\xi \in L^2(G)$ is called left (resp. right) bounded if left (resp. right) convolution with ξ on $\mathcal{K}(G)$ extends to a bounded operator on $L^2(G)$, i.e. if there exists a bounded operator $\lambda(\xi)$ (resp. $\lambda'(\xi)$) such that $\forall k \in \mathcal{K}(G): \lambda(\xi)k = \xi * k$ (resp. $\lambda'(\xi)k = k * \xi$). The set of left (resp. right) bounded $L^2(G)$ -functions is denoted \mathcal{A}_ℓ (resp. \mathcal{A}_r). Obviously, $\mathcal{K}(G) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_\ell$, $\mathcal{K}(G) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_r$, and for $\xi \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ we have $\lambda'(\xi) = \rho(\Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \check{\xi})$. Note that $\xi \in L^2(G)$ is left bounded if and only if the operator $\eta \mapsto \lambda'(\eta)\xi: \mathcal{A}_r \rightarrow L^2(G)$ extends to a bounded operator on $L^2(G)$; if this is the case, we have $\lambda(\xi)\eta = \lambda'(\eta)\xi$ for all $\eta \in \mathcal{A}_r$. (Our definition of left-boundedness therefore agrees with [1, Définition 2.1]). If $\xi \in \mathcal{A}_\ell$ and $T \in M$, then $T\xi \in \mathcal{A}_\ell$ and $\lambda(T\xi) = T\lambda(\xi)$.

We denote by ψ_0 the canonical weight on M [1, Définition 2.12]. Then the weight ψ_0 on M' given by $\psi_0(y) = \psi_0(JyJ)$ for all $y \in (M')_+$ is called the canonical weight on M' . The corresponding modular automorphism groups are given by

$$\sigma_t^{\psi_0}(x) = \Delta^{it} x \Delta^{-it}, \quad x \in M,$$

$$\sigma_t^{\psi_0}(y) = \Delta^{-it} y \Delta^{it}, \quad y \in M',$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Here, Δ denotes the multiplication operator on $L^2(G)$ by the function Δ (note that we shall not distinguish in our notation between the function Δ and the corresponding multiplication operator). With this definition, Δ is in fact the modular operator of $\mathcal{K}(G)$ (as defined in [3, Lemma 2.2]).

It follows from the defining property of ψ_0 [1, Théorème 2.11] that for all $y \in M'$ we have

$$\psi_0(y^*y) = \begin{cases} \|\eta\|_2^2 & \text{if } y = \lambda'(\eta) \text{ for some } \eta \in \mathcal{A}_I, \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We identify the Hilbert space completion H_{ψ_0} of $n_{\psi_0} = \{y \in M' \mid \psi_0(y^*y) < \infty\}$ with $L^2(G)$ via $\eta \mapsto \lambda'(\eta)$.

Now recall that by definition [2, Definition 1], $D(L^2(G), \psi_0)$ is the set of $\xi \in L^2(G)$ such that $y \mapsto y\xi: n_{\psi_0} \rightarrow L^2(G)$ extends to a bounded operator $R^{\psi_0}(\xi): H_{\psi_0} \rightarrow L^2(G)$, i.e., in view of the identification of H_{ψ_0} with $L^2(G)$, such that $\eta \mapsto \lambda'(\eta)\xi: \mathcal{A}_I \rightarrow L^2(G)$ extends to a bounded operator on $L^2(G)$. Thus $D(L^2(G), \psi_0) = \mathcal{A}_\lambda$, and for all $\xi \in D(L^2(G), \psi_0)$ we have $R^{\psi_0}(\xi) = \lambda(\xi)$.

If φ is a normal semi-finite weight on M , then by definition [2], $\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi_0}$ is the unique positive self-adjoint operator T satisfying

$$\forall \xi \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda: \varphi(\lambda(\xi)\lambda(\xi)^*) = \begin{cases} \|T^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi\|^2 & \text{if } \xi \in D(T^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$T^{\frac{1}{2}} = [T^{\frac{1}{2}} \mid \mathcal{A}_\lambda \cap D(T^{\frac{1}{2}})]$$

In particular, we have

$$\frac{d\varphi_0}{d\psi_0} = \Delta$$

(cf. [2, Lemma 10 (b)] together with the proof of [2, Lemma 10 (a)]).

If φ is a functional, then by the definition of $\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi_0}$ we have $\mathcal{A}_\xi \in D\left(\left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left[\left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big| \mathcal{A}_\xi\right]$.

Finally, we note that the predual space M_* of the von Neumann algebra M may be viewed as a space of functions on the group in the following manner: for each $\varphi \in M_*$, define $u: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$u(x) = \varphi(\lambda(x)), \quad x \in G.$$

Then u is a continuous function on the group determining φ completely. The linear space of such functions, normed by $\|u\| = \|\varphi\|$, is exactly the Fourier algebra $A(G)$ of G introduced by P. Eymard [6] (this follows from [6, Théorème (3.10)]). The identification of $A(G)$ with M_* is such that

$$\langle \varphi, \lambda(f) \rangle = \int \varphi(x) f(x) dx$$

for all $\varphi \in M_* \simeq A(G)$ and all $f \in L^1(G)$.

Recall that by [4, 13.4.4] a continuous function φ on G is positive definite if and only if

$$\forall \xi \in \mathcal{K}(G): \int \varphi(x) (\xi * \xi^*)(x) dx \geq 0$$

i.e., if and only if

$$\forall \xi \in \mathcal{K}(G): \iint \varphi(yx^{-1}) \xi(y) \overline{\xi(x)} dy dx \geq 0.$$

If $\varphi \in A(G)$, then φ is positive definite if and only if the corresponding functional $\varphi \in M_*$ is positive. We denote by $A(G)_+$ the set of positive definite $\varphi \in A(G)$.

2. Homogeneous operators on $L^2(G)$ and the spaces $L^p(\mathbb{R}_G)$.

Definition. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. An operator T on $L^2(G)$ is called α -homogeneous if

$$\forall x \in G: \rho(x)T \subseteq \Delta^{-\alpha}(x)T\rho(x) .$$

Remarks. (1) The 0-homogeneous operators are precisely the operators affiliated with M .

(2) If T is α -homogeneous, then actually $\rho(x)T = \Delta^{-\alpha}(x)T\rho(x)$ for all $x \in G$ (to see this, replace x by x^{-1} in the definition).

(3) If T and S are both α -homogeneous, then $T+S$ is α -homogeneous. If T is α -homogeneous and S is β -homogeneous, then TS is $(\alpha+\beta)$ -homogeneous. If T is densely defined and α -homogeneous, then T^* is also α -homogeneous. If T is positive self-adjoint and α -homogeneous and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then T^β is $(\alpha\beta)$ -homogeneous (use $\rho(x)T^\beta\rho(x^{-1}) = (\rho(x)T\rho(x^{-1}))^\beta$).

(4) If T is α -homogeneous for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, then the projection onto $N(T)^\perp$ belongs to M (since $N(T)$ is invariant under all $\rho(x)$, $x \in G$).

(5) If a preclosed operator T is α -homogeneous, then its closure $\{T\}$ is also α -homogeneous.

(6) For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\Delta^{-\alpha}$ is α -homogeneous.

Lemma 2.1. Let T be a closed densely defined operator on $L^2(G)$ with polar decomposition $T = U|T|$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then T is α -homogeneous if and only if $U \in M$ and $\{T\}$ is α -homogeneous.

Proof. If T is α -homogeneous, then, by Remark (3), $|T| = (T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is also α -homogeneous. Then for all $x \in G$ and $\xi \in D(|T|)$ we have $\rho(x)U|T|\xi = \rho(x)T\xi = \Delta^{-\alpha}(x)T\rho(x)\xi = \Delta^{-\alpha}(x)U|T|\rho(x)\xi = U\rho(x)|T|\xi$, i.e. $\rho(x)U \subseteq U\rho(x)$ on $R(|T|)$. Since the projection onto $R(|T|) = N(|T|)^\perp$ belongs to M , we conclude that U commutes with all $\rho(x)$; thus $U \in M$.

The "if"-part follows directly from Remarks (3) and (1). ■

Lemma 2.2. Let T be a closed densely defined operator on $L^2(G)$, and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Suppose that

$$\forall x \in G: \rho(x)T \subseteq \Delta^{-\alpha}(x)T\rho(x).$$

Then

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{K}(G): \lambda'(f)T \subseteq T\lambda'(\Delta^\alpha f).$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ and $\xi \in D(T)$. Then for all $\eta \in D(T^*)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho(f)T\xi|\eta) &= \int f(x) (\rho(x)T\xi|\eta) dx \\ &= \int f(x) \Delta^{-\alpha}(x) (T\rho(x)\xi|\eta) dx \\ &= \int \Delta^{-\alpha}(x) f(x) (\rho(x)\xi|T^*\eta) dx \\ &= (\rho(\Delta^{-\alpha}f)\xi|T^*\eta). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\rho(\Delta^{-\alpha}f)\xi \in D(T^{**}) = D(T)$ and $T\rho(\Delta^{-\alpha}f)\xi = \rho(f)T\xi$ for all $\xi \in D(T)$, i.e.

$$\rho(f)T \subseteq T\rho(\Delta^{-\alpha}f).$$

Hence for all $f \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ we have

$$\lambda'(f)T = \rho(\Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}f)T \subseteq T\rho(\Delta^{-\alpha}\Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}f) = T\lambda'(\Delta^\alpha f). \quad \blacksquare$$

Lemma 2.3. Let T be a closed densely defined operator on $L^2(G)$, α -homogeneous for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda$. Then for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $|T|^{it}_\xi \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda$ and

$$\|\lambda(|T|^{it}_\xi)\| \leq \|\lambda(\xi)\| .$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, we have $\rho(x)|T|\rho(x^{-1}) = \Delta^{-\alpha}(x)|T|$ for all $x \in G$, whence $\rho(x)|T|^{it}_\rho(x^{-1}) = \Delta^{-iat}(x)|T|^{it}$ for all $x \in G$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, applying the preceding lemma to $|T|^{it}$, we obtain for all $\eta \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ that

$$|T|^{it}_{\xi * \eta} = \lambda'(\eta)|T|^{it}_\xi = |T|^{it}\lambda'(\Delta^{iat}\eta)\xi = |T|^{it}\lambda(\xi)\Delta^{iat}\eta ,$$

and thus

$$\||T|^{it}_{\xi * \eta}\|_2 \leq \||T|^{it}\| \|\lambda(\xi)\| \|\Delta^{iat}\eta\|_2 \leq \|\lambda(\xi)\| \|\eta\|_2 .$$

We conclude that $|T|^{it}_\xi$ is left bounded and that

$$\|\lambda(|T|^{it}_\xi)\| \leq \|\lambda(\xi)\| . \quad \blacksquare$$

Remark. In particular, $\Delta^{it}_\xi \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda$ with $\|\lambda(\Delta^{it}_\xi)\| \leq \|\lambda(\xi)\|$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Our next lemma shows that α -homogeneity as defined here is equivalent to homogeneity of degree α with respect to ψ_0 as defined in [2, Definition 17].

Lemma 2.4. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and let T be a closed densely defined operator on $L^2(G)$ with polar decomposition $T = U|T|$. Then the

following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) T is α -homogeneous,
- (ii) $U \in M$ and $\forall y \in M' \forall t \in \mathbb{R}: \sigma_{\alpha t}^{\psi_0}(y) |T|^{it} = |T|^{it} y$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, we may assume that T is positive self-adjoint

Denote by P the projection onto $N(T)^{\perp}$. If either (i) or (ii) holds, then P is in M , and thus the subspace $P L^2(G)$ is invariant under all operators considered. Therefore, we may suppose that $P \in M$, and the lemma is proved when we have shown the equivalence of

$$(1) \quad \forall x \in G: \rho(x) T \rho(x^{-1}) P = \Delta^{-\alpha}(x) T P$$

and

$$(2) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \forall y \in M': \sigma_{\alpha t}^{\psi_0}(y) P = T^{it} y T^{-it} P.$$

Now for all $x \in G$ we have

$$\sigma_{\alpha t}^{\psi_0}(\rho(x)) = \Delta^{-iat} \rho(x) \Delta^{iat} = \Delta^{iat}(x) \rho(x),$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Delta^{-iat} \rho(x) \Delta^{iat} f)(z) \\ &= \Delta^{-it}(z) \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}(x) \Delta^{it}(zx) f(zx) \\ &= \Delta^{-it}(x) (\rho(x) f)(z) \end{aligned}$$

for all $f \in L^2(G)$ and all $x, z \in G$. Then, since M' is generated by the $\rho(x)$, the condition (2) is equivalent to

$$\forall x \in G \forall t \in \mathbb{R}: \Delta^{iat}(x) \rho(x) P = T^{it} \rho(x) T^{-it} P$$

or (changing t into $-t$)

$$\forall x \in G \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}: \rho(x)T^{it} \rho(x)^P = \Delta^{-iat} \rho(x)T^{it} P,$$

which in turn is equivalent to (1). ■

Now, by [2, Theorem 13] a positive self-adjoint operator on $L^2(G)$ is (-1) -homogeneous if and only if it has the form $\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi_0}$ for a (necessarily unique) normal semi-finite weight φ on M .

We define the "integral with respect to ψ_0 " of a positive self-adjoint (-1) -homogeneous operator T as

$$\int T d\psi_0 = \varphi(1) \in [0, \infty],$$

where $T = \frac{d\varphi}{d\psi_0}$. If $\int T d\psi_0 < \infty$, i.e. if φ is a functional, we shall say that T is integrable. (These definitions agree with those given in [2, remarks following Corollary 18].)

For each $p \in [1, \infty[$, we denote by $L^P(\psi_0)$ the set of closed densely defined $(-\frac{1}{p})$ -homogeneous operators T on $L^2(G)$ satisfying

$$\int |T|^P d\psi_0 < \infty.$$

(Note that $|T|^P$ is (-1) -homogeneous, so that $\int |T|^P d\psi_0$ is defined.) We put $L^\infty(\psi_0) = M$.

The spaces $L^P(\psi_0)$ introduced here are special cases of the spatial L^P -spaces of M. Hilsum [12]. We recall their main properties (note, however, that our notation differs from that of [12] in that we maintain throughout the distinction between operators and their closures):

If $T, S \in L^p(\psi_0)$, then $T+S$ is densely defined and pre-closed, and the closure $[T+S]$ belongs to $L^p(\psi_0)$. With the obvious scalar multiplication and the sum $(T, S) \mapsto [T+S]$, $L^p(\psi_0)$ is a linear space, and even a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_p$ defined by $\|T\|_p = (\int |T|^p d\psi_0)^{1/p}$ if $p \in [1, \infty[$ and $\|T\|_p = \|T\|$ (operator norm) if $p = \infty$. The operation $T \mapsto T^*$ is an isometry of $L^p(\psi_0)$ onto $L^p(\psi_0)$. We denote $L^p(\psi_0)_+$ the set of positive self-adjoint operators belonging to $L^p(\psi_0)$.

By linearity, $T \mapsto \int T d\psi_0$ defined on $L^1(\psi_0)_+$ extends to a linear form on the whole of $L^1(\psi_0)$ satisfying $\int T^* d\psi_0 = \overline{\int T d\psi_0}$ and $|\int T d\psi_0| \leq \|T\|_1$ for all $T \in L^1(\psi_0)$.

Let $p_1, p_2, p \in [1, \infty]$ such that $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{1}{p}$. If $T \in L^{p_1}(\psi_0)$ and $S \in L^{p_2}(\psi_0)$, then the operator TS is densely defined and preclosed, its closure $[TS]$ belongs to $L^p(\psi_0)$, and

$$\|[TS]\|_p \leq \|T\|_{p_1} \|S\|_{p_2}.$$

In particular, if $T \in L^p(\psi_0)$ and $S \in L^q(\psi_0)$ where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, then $[TS] \in L^1(\psi_0)$ and $\|[TS]\|_1 \leq \|T\|_p \|S\|_q$ (Hölder's inequality). Furthermore, $\int [TS] d\psi_0 = \int [ST] d\psi_0$.

If $p \in [1, \infty[$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, then we identify $L^q(\psi_0)$ with the dual space of $L^p(\psi_0)$ by means of the form $(T, S) \mapsto \int [TS] d\psi_0$, $T \in L^p(\psi_0)$, $S \in L^q(\psi_0)$. In particular, $L^1(\psi_0)$ is the pre-dual of $M = L^\infty(\psi_0)$. The space $L^2(\psi_0)$ is a Hilbert space with the inner product $(T, S)_{L^2(\psi_0)} = \int [S^*T] d\psi_0$.

Remark. Suppose that G is unimodular. Then the α -homogeneous operators for any α are simply the operators affiliated with M

and the canonical weight φ_0 on M is a trace. We claim that $\int T d\psi_0 = \varphi_0(T)$ for all positive self-adjoint operators T affiliated with M , where we have written $\varphi_0(T)$ for the value of $\varphi = \varphi_0(T \cdot)$ at 1 (with $\varphi_0(T \cdot)$ defined as in [17, Section 4]). To see this, recall that $\frac{d\varphi_0}{d\psi_0} = \Delta = 1$, so that using [2, Theorem 9, (2)], we have

$$T^{it} = (D\varphi : D\varphi_0)_t = \left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi_0} \right)^{it} \left(\frac{d\varphi_0}{d\psi_0} \right)^{-it} = \left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi_0} \right)^{it}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus $T = \frac{d\varphi}{d\psi_0}$ and $\int T d\psi_0 = \varphi(1) = \varphi_0(T)$. (When proving $T = \frac{d\varphi}{d\psi_0}$, we implicitly assumed that T is injective so that $\varphi = \varphi_0(T \cdot)$ is faithful. In the general case, denote by $Q \in M$ the projection onto $N(T)$, note that $T+Q$ is positive self-adjoint, affiliated with M , and injective, and verify that

$$T+Q = \frac{d\varphi_0((T+Q) \cdot)}{d\psi_0} = \frac{d\varphi_0(T \cdot)}{d\psi_0} + \frac{d\varphi_0(Q \cdot)}{d\psi_0}.$$

Since the supports of $\frac{d\varphi_0(T \cdot)}{d\psi_0}$ and $\frac{d\varphi_0(Q \cdot)}{d\psi_0}$ are $1-Q$ and Q , respectively, we conclude that $T = \frac{d\varphi_0(T \cdot)}{d\psi_0}$ as desired.) It follows that in this case the spaces $L^P(\psi_0)$ reduce to the ordinary $L^P(M, \varphi_0)$ (discussed in the introduction).

Returning to the general case, we now proceed to a more detailed study of the spaces $L^P(\psi_0)$. For this, we shall need the following slightly generalized version of [12, II, Proposition 2]:

Lemma 2.5. Let T be a positive self-adjoint operator on $L^2(G)$, α -homogeneous for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda$. Then there exist $\xi_n \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda \cap \bigcap_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}_+} D(T^\beta)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

- (i) $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}: \|\lambda(\xi_n)\| \leq \|\lambda(\xi)\|$,
- (ii) $\xi_n \rightarrow \xi$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
- (iii) $T^\beta \xi_n \rightarrow T^\beta \xi$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ whenever ξ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfy $\xi \in D(T^\beta)$.

Proof. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $f_n: [0, \infty[\rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} x^{it/\sqrt{n}} dt & \text{if } x > 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Since for all $x \in [0, \infty[$ we have $|f_n(x)| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt = 1$, the operators $f_n(T)$ are bounded. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, put $\xi_n = f_n(T)\xi$.

To prove that the ξ_n belong to \mathcal{A}_λ and satisfy (i), denote by P the projection onto $N(T)^\perp$ and observe that for all $\eta \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(T)P\xi * \eta &= \lambda'(\eta) f_n(T)P\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} \lambda'(\eta) T^{it/\sqrt{n}} \xi dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} T^{it/\sqrt{n}} \lambda'(\Delta^{iat/\sqrt{n}} \eta) \xi dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} T^{it/\sqrt{n}} (\xi * \Delta^{iat/\sqrt{n}} \eta) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 2.2. It follows that

$$\|f_n(T)P\xi*\eta\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int e^{-t^2} \|\lambda(\xi)\| \|\Delta^{iat/\sqrt{n}}\eta\|_2 dt \leq \|\lambda(\xi)\| \|\eta\|_2 .$$

On the other hand,

$$\|(1-P)\xi*\eta\|_2 \leq \|\lambda((1-P)\xi)\| \|\eta\|_2 \leq \|\lambda(\xi)\| \|\eta\|_2 ,$$

since $P \in M$.

In all, $f_n(T)\xi = f_n(T)P\xi + (1-P)\xi$ belongs to \mathcal{O}_ℓ and $\|\lambda(f_n(T)\xi)\| \leq \|\lambda(\xi)\|$.

Now, to see that $\xi_n \in D(T^\beta)$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_+$, note that

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} e^{(it/\sqrt{n}) \log x} dt \\ &= e^{-\frac{1}{4n}(\log x)^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(t-\frac{i}{2\sqrt{n}} \log x)^2} dt \\ &= e^{-\frac{1}{4n}(\log x)^2} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x > 0$. Then $x \mapsto x^\beta f_n(x) = e^{(\beta \log x - \frac{1}{4n}(\log x)^2)}$ is bounded, so that $T^\beta f_n(T)$ is a bounded operator, and thus $f_n(T)\xi \in D(T^\beta)$.

Since f_n is bounded and $f_n(x) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in [0, \infty[$, we have

$$f_n(T)\zeta \rightarrow \zeta \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

for all ζ . From this, we immediately get (ii) and (iii).

Indeed, $\xi_n = f_n(T)\xi \rightarrow \xi$, and if $\xi \in D(T^\beta)$, then

$$T^\beta \xi_n = T^\beta f_n(T)\xi = f_n(T)T^\beta \xi \rightarrow T^\beta \xi . \blacksquare$$

Proposition 2.1. Let T be a closed densely defined (-1) -homogeneous operator on $L^2(G)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $T \in L^1(\psi_0)$,
- (ii) there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that $\forall \xi \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda \cap D(T) \quad \forall \eta \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda: |(T\xi|\eta)| \leq C \|\lambda(\xi)\| \|\lambda(\eta)\|$,
- (iii) there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that $\forall \xi \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda \cap D(|T|^{1/2}): \| |T|^{1/2} \xi \|^2 \leq C \|\lambda(\xi)\|^2$,
- (iv) there exists an approximate identity $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$ in $\mathcal{K}(G)_+$ such that all $\xi_i \in D(|T|^{1/2})$ and $\liminf \| |T|^{1/2} \xi_i \| < \infty$.

If $T \in L^1(\psi_0)$, then $\mathcal{A}_\lambda \subseteq D(|T|^{1/2})$, and for any approximate identity $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$ in $\mathcal{K}(G)_+$ we have

$$\|T\|_1 = \lim \| |T|^{1/2} \xi_i \|^2.$$

Furthermore, $\|T\|_1$ is the smallest C satisfying (ii) and the smallest C satisfying (iii).

Proof. Let $T = U|T|$ be the polar decomposition of T .

First, suppose that $T \in L^1(\psi_0)$. Then $|T| \in L^1(\psi_0)_+$, and therefore $|T| = \frac{d\varphi}{d\psi_0}$ for some positive functional φ on M .

Recall that $\mathcal{A}_\lambda \subseteq D(|T|^{1/2})$. Thus for all $\xi \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda \cap D(T)$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(T\xi|\eta)| &= |(|T|^{1/2}\xi | |T|^{1/2}U^*\eta)| \\ &= |\varphi(\lambda(\xi)\lambda(U^*\eta))| \\ &\leq \|\varphi\| \|\lambda(\xi)\| \|\lambda(U^*\eta)\| \\ &\leq \|T\|_1 \|\lambda(\xi)\| \|\lambda(\eta)\|, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., (ii) holds.

Next, suppose that T satisfies (ii). Then for all $\xi \in \mathcal{A}_\rho \cap D(|T|)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \| |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi \|^2 &= |(T\xi | U\xi)| \\ &\leq C \|\lambda(\xi)\| \|\lambda(U\xi)\| \\ &\leq C \|\lambda(\xi)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now if $\xi \in \mathcal{A}_\rho \cap D(|T|^{\frac{1}{2}})$, there exist (by Lemma 2.5) $\xi_n \in \mathcal{A}_\rho \cap D(|T|)$ such that $|T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi_n \rightarrow |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi$ and $\|\lambda(\xi_n)\| \leq \|\lambda(\xi)\|$. Since

$$\| |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi_n \|^2 \leq C \|\lambda(\xi_n)\|^2 \leq C \|\lambda(\xi)\|^2,$$

we conclude that $\| |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi \|^2 \leq C \|\lambda(\xi)\|^2$. Thus (iii) is proved.

Now suppose that T satisfies (iii). First we show that this implies $\mathcal{A}_\rho \subseteq D(|T|^{\frac{1}{2}})$. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{A}_\rho$. Then by Lemma 2.5 there exist $\xi_n \in \mathcal{A}_\rho \cap D(|T|^{\frac{1}{2}})$ such that $\xi_n \rightarrow \xi$ and $\|\lambda(\xi_n)\| \leq \|\lambda(\xi)\|$. Then for all $\eta \in D(|T|^{\frac{1}{2}})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(|T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi_n | \eta)| &\leq \| |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi_n \| \|\eta\| \\ &\leq C^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\lambda(\xi_n)\| \|\eta\| \\ &\leq C^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\lambda(\xi)\| \|\eta\| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(|T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi_n | \eta) = (\xi_n | |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \eta) \rightarrow (\xi | |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \eta).$$

We conclude that

$$\forall \eta \in D(|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}): |(\xi | |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \eta)| \leq C^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\lambda(\xi)\| \|\eta\|.$$

thus $\xi \in D(|T|^{\frac{1}{2}})$ as wanted.

Now, still assuming (iii), let us prove (iv). Let $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$ be any approximate identity in $\mathcal{K}(G)_+$. Then automatically all $\xi_i \in \mathcal{K}(G) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_r \subseteq D(|T|^{1/2})$, and $\|\lambda(\xi_i)\| \leq \|\xi_i\|_1 = 1$ so that

$$\| |T|^{1/2} \xi_i \|^2 \leq C \|\lambda(\xi_i)\|^2 \leq C,$$

whence $\liminf \| |T|^{1/2} \xi_i \| \leq C^{1/2} < \infty$.

Finally, suppose that T satisfies (iv) for some $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$. Note that since $\int (\xi_i * \xi_i^*)(x) dx = 1$, $(\xi_i * \xi_i^*)_{i \in I}$ is again an approximate identity in $\mathcal{K}(G)_+$. Therefore, $\lambda(\xi_i) \lambda(\xi_i)^* = \lambda(\xi_i * \xi_i^*)$ converges strongly, and hence weakly, to 1 in M . Since all $\|\lambda(\xi_i) \lambda(\xi_i)^*\| \leq 1$, this convergence is also σ -weak, and by the σ -weak lower semicontinuity of φ , this implies

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(1) &\leq \liminf \varphi(\lambda(\xi_i) \lambda(\xi_i)^*) \\ &= \liminf \| |T|^{1/2} \xi_i \|^2 \\ &\leq C \liminf \|\lambda(\xi_i)\|^2 \\ &\leq C < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi(1) = \int |T| d\psi_0 < \infty$, we have $T \in L^1(\psi_0)$, i.e. (i) holds.

Note that once $\varphi(1) < \infty$ is established, φ is known to be σ -weakly lower continuous and thus

$$\varphi(1) = \lim \varphi(\lambda(\xi_i) \lambda(\xi_i)^*) = \lim \| |T|^{1/2} \xi_i \|^2$$

for any approximate identity $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$, i.e.

$$\|T\|_1 = \lim \| |T|^{1/2} \xi_i \|^2.$$

In the course of the proof we observed that $\|T\|_1$ may be used as

the constant C in (ii), that every constant C satisfying (ii) also satisfies (iii), and that any C satisfying (iii) is bigger than $\lim \| |T|^{1/2} \xi_i \|^2$, i.e. bigger than $\|T\|_1$. This proves the remarks that end Proposition 2.1. ■

As an immediate corollary, we have:

Proposition 2.2. Let T be a closed densely defined $(-\frac{1}{2})$ -homogeneous operator on $L^2(G)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $T \in L^2(\psi_0)$,
- (ii) there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that $\forall \xi \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda \cap D(T): \|T\xi\| \leq C\|\lambda(\xi)\|$,
- (iii) there exists an approximate identity $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$ in $\mathcal{K}(G)_+$ such that all $\xi_i \in D(T)$ and $\liminf \|T\xi_i\| < \infty$.

If $T \in L^2(\psi_0)$, then $\mathcal{O}_\lambda \subseteq D(T)$, and for any approximate identity $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$ in $\mathcal{K}(G)_+$ we have

$$\|T\|_2 = \lim \|T\xi_i\|;$$

furthermore, $\|T\|_2$ is the smallest constant C satisfying (ii).

We now come to the case of a general $p \in [1, \infty[$. Suppose that $T \in L^p(\psi_0)$ and $S \in L^q(\psi_0)$, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Then by [12, II, Proposition 5,1)], we have

$$(T\xi | S\eta) = \langle [S^*T], \lambda(\xi)\lambda(\eta)^* \rangle$$

for all $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_\xi \cap D(T)$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{O}_\eta \cap D(S)$. (Here, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the form giving the duality of $L^1(\psi_0)$ and M .) Using Hölder's inequality, we get

$$| (T\xi | S\eta) | \leq \| [S^*T] \|_1 \| \lambda(\xi) \lambda(\eta)^* \| \leq \| T \|_p \| S \|_q \| \lambda(\xi) \| \| \lambda(\eta) \|$$

for all such ξ and η . This kind of inequality in fact characterizes $L^p(\psi_0)$ -operators among all $(-\frac{1}{p})$ -homogeneous operators:

Proposition 2.3. Let $p \in [1, \infty]$ and define q by $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Let T be a closed densely defined $(-\frac{1}{p})$ -homogeneous operator on $L^2(G)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $T \in L^p(\psi_0)$,
- (ii) there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that

$$\forall S \in L^q(\psi_0) \quad \forall \xi \in \mathcal{O}_\xi \cap D(T) \quad \forall \eta \in \mathcal{O}_\eta \cap D(S):$$

$$| (T\xi | S\eta) | \leq C \| S \|_q \| \lambda(\xi) \| \| \lambda(\eta) \| .$$

If $T \in L^p(\psi_0)$, then $\| T \|_p$ is the smallest C satisfying (ii).

Proof. In view of the remarks preceding this proposition, we just have to show that if T satisfies (ii) for some constant C , then $T \in L^p(\psi_0)$ and $\| T \|_p \leq C$.

Therefore suppose that T with polar decomposition $T = U|T|$ satisfies (ii). Then also

$$\begin{aligned} | (|T|\xi | S\eta) | &= | (T\xi | U^*S\eta) | \\ &\leq C \| [U^*S] \|_q \| \lambda(\xi) \| \| \lambda(\eta) \| \\ &\leq C \| S \|_q \| \lambda(\xi) \| \| \lambda(\eta) \| \end{aligned}$$

for all S , ξ , and η chosen as in (ii). Thus we may assume that T is positive self-adjoint.

Let $S \in L^q(\psi_0)$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{O}_\ell \cap D(T^{\frac{1}{2}}S)$. We claim that for all $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_\ell \cap D(T^{\frac{1}{2}})$ we have

$$(1) \quad |(T^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi | T^{\frac{1}{2}}S\eta)| \leq C \|S\|_q \|\lambda(\xi)\| \|\lambda(\eta)\|.$$

If $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_\ell \cap D(T)$, this follows directly from the hypothesis. In case of a general $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_\ell \cap D(T^{\frac{1}{2}})$, choose (by Lemma 2.5) $\xi_n \in \mathcal{O}_\ell \cap D(T)$ such that $T^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi_n \rightarrow T^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi$ and $\|\lambda(\xi_n)\| \leq \|\lambda(\xi)\|$. Then (1) follows by passing to the limit.

Now since T is $(-\frac{1}{p})$ -homogeneous, there exist $T_i \in L^p(\psi_0)_+$ satisfying $T_i^p \leq T^p$ and $\int T^p d\psi_0 = \sup \int T_i^p d\psi_0$. (To see this, recall that $T^p = \frac{d\varphi}{d\psi_0}$ for some normal semi-finite weight φ on M ; put $T_i = \left(\frac{d\varphi_i}{d\psi_0}\right)^{1/p}$ where the φ_i are positive normal functionals such that $\varphi_i \nearrow \varphi$; then $\frac{d\varphi_i}{d\psi_0} \leq \frac{d\varphi}{d\psi_0}$ by [2, Proposition 8], and $\int T^p d\psi_0 = \varphi(1) = \sup \varphi_i(1) = \sup \int T_i^p d\psi_0$.)

Since the function $t \mapsto t^{1/p}$ is operator monotone on $[0, \infty[$ (by [16, Proposition 1.3.8]), we have $T_i \leq T$, i.e. $D(T_i^{\frac{1}{2}}) \supseteq D(T^{\frac{1}{2}})$ and

$$\forall \xi \in D(T^{\frac{1}{2}}): \|T_i^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi\| \leq \|T^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi\|,$$

for each $i \in I$ (cf. also the remark following this proof).

For each i , let B_i be the bounded operator characterized by $B_i T^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi = T_i^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi$ for all $\xi \in D(T^{\frac{1}{2}})$ and $B_i\xi = 0$ for all $\xi \in R(T^{\frac{1}{2}})^\perp$. Then $\|B_i\| \leq 1$. Since $B_i T^{\frac{1}{2}} \subseteq T_i^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and since $T^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $T_i^{\frac{1}{2}}$ are $(-\frac{1}{p})$ -homogeneous, B_i is 0-homogeneous, i.e. $B_i \in M$. Put $A_i = B_i^*$. Then $A_i \in M$, $\|A_i\| \leq 1$, and

$$T_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \subseteq T^{\frac{1}{2}} A_i.$$

Using this, the fact that

$$T_i^{p-1} = T_i^{p/q} \in L^q(\psi_0) \quad \text{with} \quad \|T_i^{p-1}\|_q = \|T_i\|_p^{p-1},$$

and (1), we find that for all $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda \cap \bigcap_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}_+} D(T_i^\beta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_i^{p/2}\xi\|^2 &= (T_i^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi | T_i^{\frac{1}{2}}T_i^{p-1}\xi) \\ &= (T^{\frac{1}{2}}A_i\xi | T^{\frac{1}{2}}A_iT_i^{p-1}\xi) \\ &\leq C \| [A_i T_i^{p-1}] \|_q \| \lambda(A_i \xi) \| \| \lambda(\xi) \| \\ &\leq C \| A_i \| \| T_i^{p-1} \|_q \| A_i \| \| \lambda(\xi) \|^2 \\ &= C \| T_i \|_p^{p-1} \| \lambda(\xi) \|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By means of Lemma 2.5, we conclude that the estimate

$$\|T_i^{p/2}\xi\|^2 \leq C \|T_i\|_p^{p-1} \| \lambda(\xi) \|^2$$

holds for all $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda \cap D(T_i^{p/2})$. Thus by Proposition 2.1,

$$\|T_i\|_p^p = \|T_i^p\|_1 \leq C \|T_i\|_p^{p-1},$$

i.e.

$$\|T_i\|_p \leq C.$$

Since this holds for all i , we have

$$\int T^p d\psi_0 = \sup \int T_i^p d\psi_0 \leq C^p < \infty;$$

thus $T \in L^p(\psi_0)$ and $\|T\|_p \leq C$. ■

Remark. We have used the fact that if a continuous function f on $[0, \infty[$ is operator monotone in the sense that $R \leq S$ implies $f(R) \leq f(S)$ for all positive bounded operators R and S , then

the same is true for all - possibly unbounded - positive self-adjoint R and S . To see this, suppose that $R \leq S$. Then for all $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we have $R(1+\varepsilon R)^{-1} \leq S(1+\varepsilon S)^{-1}$ by [17, Section 4], and hence $f(R(1+\varepsilon R)^{-1}) \leq f(S(1+\varepsilon S)^{-1})$. Now if $\xi \in D(f(S)^{\frac{1}{2}})$, we have by spectral theory

$$\begin{aligned} (f(R(1+\varepsilon R)^{-1})\xi|\xi) &\leq (f(S(1+\varepsilon S)^{-1})\xi|\xi) \\ &\rightarrow \|f(S)^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi\|^2 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Again by spectral theory, we conclude that $\xi \in D(f(R)^{\frac{1}{2}})$ and that

$$\|f(R)^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi\|^2 = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (f(R(1+\varepsilon R)^{-1})\xi|\xi) \leq \|f(S)^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi\|^2.$$

In all, we have proved that $f(R) \leq f(S)$.

Recall from [12, §1, Théorème 4, 1)], that if T_1 and T_2 belong to some $L^p(\psi_0)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, and if $T_2 \subseteq T_1$, then $T_1 = T_2$. Actually, a stronger result holds:

Lemma 2.6. Let $p \in [1, \infty]$. Let $T_1 \in L^p(\psi_0)$ and let T_2 be a closed densely defined $(-\frac{1}{p})$ -homogeneous operator on $L^2(G)$.

Suppose that

$$T_2 \subseteq T_1 \text{ or } T_1 \subseteq T_2.$$

Then $T_1 = T_2$.

Proof. 1) First suppose that $T_2 \subseteq T_1$. If $p = \infty$, the result is well-known (a closed densely defined operator having a bounded and everywhere defined extension is equal to that extension). If

$p \in [1, \infty[$, we conclude by Proposition 2.3 that also $T_2 \in L^p(\psi)$ and thus by [12, §1, Théorème 4, 1)], $T_1 = T_2$. (Alternatively, this can be proved directly, i.e. without using Proposition 2.3, by the methods of the proof of [12, §1, Théorème 4, 1]).)

If $T_1 \subseteq T_2$, apply the first part of the proof to $T_2^* \subseteq T_1^*$. ■

A specific form of this lemma will be crucial to much of the following:

Proposition 2.4. Let $p \in [1, \infty]$.

- 1) Let T and S be closed densely defined $(-\frac{1}{p})$ -homogeneous operators on $L^2(G)$ with $\mathcal{K}(G) \subseteq D(T)$ and $\mathcal{K}(G) \subseteq D(S)$. Suppose that

$$\forall \xi \in \mathcal{K}(G): T\xi = S\xi .$$

Then if one of the operators, say T , belongs to $L^p(\psi_0)$, we may conclude that $T = S$.

- 2) If $T \in L^p(\psi_0)$ and $\mathcal{K}(G) \subseteq D(T)$, then $T = [T|_{\mathcal{K}(G)}]$

Proof (of both parts). Suppose that $T \in L^p(\psi_0)$. Then $T|_{\mathcal{K}(G)}$ being a restriction of a $(-\frac{1}{p})$ -homogeneous operator to a right invariant subspace, is itself $(-\frac{1}{p})$ -homogeneous. Therefore also $[T|_{\mathcal{K}(G)}]$ is $(-\frac{1}{p})$ -homogeneous. Since $[T|_{\mathcal{K}(G)}] \subseteq T$, we conclude by the above lemma that $T = [T|_{\mathcal{K}(G)}]$. This proves 2). - As for 1), note that $S \supseteq S|_{\mathcal{K}(G)} = T|_{\mathcal{K}(G)}$, and thus $S \supseteq [T|_{\mathcal{K}(G)}] = T$. Again we conclude $S = T$. ■

Finally, for later reference, we summarize in a lemma some remarks of Hilsum [12]:

Lemma 2.7. Let $q \in [2, \infty[$. Let $T \in L^q(\psi_0)$. Then $\mathcal{O}_\lambda \subseteq D(T)$, and for all $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda$ we have

$$\|T\xi\| \leq \|T\|_q \|\lambda(\xi)\|^{2/q} \|\xi\|^{1-2/q}.$$

Proof. Since $|T|^{q/2} \in L^q(\psi_0)$, we have $\mathcal{O}_\lambda \subseteq D(|T|^{q/2})$. Now let $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda$. Then by spectral theory $\xi \in D(|T|)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \| |T| \xi \|^2 &\leq (\| |T|^{q/2} \xi \|^2)^{2/q} \cdot (\|\xi\|^2)^{1-2/q} \\ &\leq (\| |T|_q \|\lambda(\xi)\|^2)^{2/q} \cdot \|\xi\|^{2(1-2/q)} \\ &= (\|T\|_q \|\lambda(\xi)\|^{2/q} \|\xi\|^{1-2/q})^2. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

3. The Plancherel transformation.

Given any functions $f \in L^2(G)$ and $\xi \in L^2(G)$, the convolution product $f * \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi$ exists and belongs to $L^\infty(G)$. Thus the following definition makes sense:

Definition. Let $f \in L^2(G)$. The Plancherel transform $\mathcal{P}(f)$ of f is the operator on $L^2(G)$ given by

$$\mathcal{P}(f)\xi = f * \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi, \quad \xi \in D(\mathcal{P}(f)),$$

where

$$D(\mathcal{P}(f)) = \{ \xi \in L^2(G) \mid f * \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi \in L^2(G) \}.$$

Theorem 3.1. (Plancherel).

1) Let $f \in L^2(G)$. Then $\mathcal{P}(f)$ belongs to $L^2(\psi_0)$, and

$$\|\mathcal{P}(f)\|_2 = \|f\|_2.$$

2) The Plancherel transformation $\mathcal{P} : L^2(G) \rightarrow L^2(\psi_0)$ is a unitary transformation of $L^2(G)$ onto $L^2(\psi_0)$.

Proof. 1) First note that $\mathcal{P}(f)$ is $(-\frac{1}{2})$ -homogeneous: for all $x, y \in G$ and $\xi \in D(\mathcal{P}(f))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x) (\mathcal{P}(f)\xi)(y) &= \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}(x) (f * \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi)(yx) \\ &= \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}(x) \int f(z) \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}(z^{-1}yx) \xi(z^{-1}yx) dz \\ &= \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}(x) \int f(z) \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}(z^{-1}y) (\rho(x)\xi)(z^{-1}y) dz \\ &= \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}(x) (f * \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho(x)\xi)(y), \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\rho(x)\mathcal{P}(f) \subseteq \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}(x)\mathcal{P}(f)\rho(x)$.

We next show that $\mathcal{P}(f)$ is closed. Suppose that $\xi_n \rightarrow \xi$ in $L^2(G)$ and $\mathcal{P}(f)\xi_n \rightarrow \eta$ in $L^2(G)$, where all the $\xi_n \in D(\mathcal{P}(f))$. Then $f * \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi_n \rightarrow f * \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi$ uniformly (by a simple case of Lemma 1.1). Since $f * \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi_n \rightarrow \eta$ in $L^2(G)$, we conclude that $\eta = f * \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi$. Thus $\xi \in D(\mathcal{P}(f))$ and $\mathcal{P}(f)\xi = \eta$, so that $\mathcal{P}(f)$ is closed.

Obviously, $\mathcal{K}(G) \subseteq D(\mathcal{P}(f))$. In all, we have shown that $\mathcal{P}(f)$ is closed, densely defined, and $(-\frac{1}{2})$ -homogeneous, so that we are now in a position to apply Proposition 2.2.

Let $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$ be an approximate identity in $\mathcal{K}(G)_+$. Then

$$\mathcal{P}(f)\xi_i = f * \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi_i \rightarrow f \text{ in } L^2(G).$$

Thus $\|\mathcal{P}(f)\xi_i\| \rightarrow \|f\|_2$. By Proposition 2.2 we conclude that $\mathcal{P}(f) \in L^2(\psi_0)$ and that

$$\|\mathcal{P}(f)\|_2 = \|f\|_2.$$

2) The map \mathcal{P} is linear: if $f_1, f_2 \in L^2(G)$, then $[\mathcal{P}(f_1) + \mathcal{P}(f_2)]$ and $\mathcal{P}(f_1 + f_2)$ obviously agree on $\mathcal{K}(G)$, and therefore by Proposition 2.4, we have

$$\mathcal{P}(f_1 + f_2) = [\mathcal{P}(f_1) + \mathcal{P}(f_2)].$$

Now, to prove that \mathcal{P} is surjective, let $T \in L^2(\psi_0)$. We shall show that there exists a function $f \in L^2(G)$ such that $T = \mathcal{P}(f)$. Let $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$ be an approximate identity in $\mathcal{K}(G)_+$. Then for all $\eta, \zeta \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta * \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \zeta | T \xi_i) &= (\eta | (T \xi_i) * \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \zeta) \\ &= (\eta | T(\xi_i * \zeta)) \\ &= (T^* \eta | \xi_i * \zeta) \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow (T^* \eta | \zeta) = (\eta | T \zeta)$$

(where we have used the $(-\frac{1}{2})$ -homogeneity of T and the fact that $\mathcal{K}(G) \subseteq D(T^*)$ since $T^* \in L^2(\psi_0)$). Thus we can define a linear functional F on the dense subspace $\mathcal{K}(G) * \mathcal{K}(G)$ of $L^2(G)$ by

$$F(\xi) = \lim_i (\xi | T \xi_i).$$

Since

$$|(\xi | T \xi_i)| \leq \|\xi\|_2 \|T \xi_i\|_2 \leq \|\xi\|_2 \|T\|_2 \|\xi_i\|_2 \leq \|T\|_2 \|\xi\|_2,$$

this functional is bounded and therefore is given by some $f \in L^2(G)$:

$$\forall \xi \in \mathcal{K}(G) * \mathcal{K}(G): F(\xi) = (\xi | f) .$$

In particular, we have

$$(\eta | T\zeta) = F(\eta * \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \zeta) = (\eta * \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \zeta | f)$$

for all $\eta, \zeta \in \mathcal{K}(G)$. Since

$$(\eta * \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \zeta | f) = (\eta | f * \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \zeta) = (\eta | \mathcal{P}(f)\zeta) ,$$

this implies

$$\forall \zeta \in \mathcal{K}(G): T\zeta = \mathcal{P}(f)\zeta ,$$

and we conclude by Proposition 2.4 that $T = \mathcal{P}(f)$. ■

Proposition 3.1. 1) For all $T \in M$ and all $f \in L^2(G)$, we have

$$\mathcal{P}(Tf) = [T \mathcal{P}(f)] .$$

2) For all $f \in L^2(G)$, we have

$$\mathcal{P}(Jf) = \mathcal{P}(f)^* .$$

Proof. 1) Let $f \in L^2(G)$ and $T \in M$. Then $[T \mathcal{P}(f)]$ and $\mathcal{P}(Tf)$ both belong to $L^2(\psi_0)$, and for all $\xi \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ we have

$$\mathcal{P}(Tf)\xi = (Tf) * \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi = T(f * \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi) = [T \mathcal{P}(f)]\xi ,$$

since T commutes with right convolution. By Proposition 2.4 we conclude that $\mathcal{P}(Tf) = [T \mathcal{P}(f)]$.

2) Let $f \in L^2(G)$. Then for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathcal{P}(Jf)\xi|\eta) &= (Jf*\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi|\eta) \\
 &= (Jf|\eta*\Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{\xi}) \\
 &= (J(\eta*\Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{\xi})|f) \\
 &= (\xi*\Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{\eta}|f) \\
 &= (\xi|f*\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\eta) = (\xi|\mathcal{P}(f)\eta) ,
 \end{aligned}$$

so that $\mathcal{P}(Jf)|_{\mathcal{K}(G)} \subseteq (\mathcal{P}(f)|_{\mathcal{K}(G)})^* = [\mathcal{P}(f)|_{\mathcal{K}(G)}]^* = \mathcal{P}(f)^*$ (since $\mathcal{P}(f) = [\mathcal{P}(f)|_{\mathcal{K}(G)}]$). We conclude by Proposition 2.4 that $\mathcal{P}(Jf) = \mathcal{P}(f)^*$. ■

Proposition 3.2. Let $f \in L^2(G)$. Then $\mathcal{P}(f) \geq 0$ if and only if

$$\int f(x) (\xi*J\xi)(x) dx \geq 0$$

for all $\xi \in \mathcal{K}(G)$.

Proof. For all $\xi \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ we have

$$\int f(x) (\xi*J\xi)(x) dx = (f|\bar{\xi}*\Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}\xi) = (f*\bar{\xi}|\xi) = (\mathcal{P}(f)\bar{\xi}|\bar{\xi}) .$$

Since $\mathcal{P}(f) = [\mathcal{P}(f)|_{\mathcal{K}(G)}]$, we have $\mathcal{P}(f) \geq 0$ if and only if $(\mathcal{P}(f)\eta|\eta) \geq 0$ for all $\eta \in \mathcal{K}(G)$, and the result follows. ■

By [10, Theorem 1.21, (3)] (or, to be precise, its spatial analogue obtained by the methods of [12, §1] connecting abstract [10] and spatial [12] L^p spaces), $L^2(\psi_0)_+$ is a selfdual cone in $L^2(\psi_0)$. By Proposition 3.2 and the unitarity of \mathcal{P} we conclude that

$$P_0 = \{f \in L^2(G) \mid \forall \xi \in \mathcal{K}(G): \int f(x) (\xi*J\xi)(x) \geq 0\}$$

is a selfdual cone in $L^2(G)$. Denote by P the ordinary self-dual cone in $L^2(G)$ associated with the achieved left Hilbert algebra $\mathcal{A}_\ell \cap \mathcal{A}_\ell^*$, i.e. let P be the closure in $L^2(G)$ of the set $\{\lambda(\xi)(J\xi) \mid \xi \in \mathcal{A}_\ell \cap \mathcal{A}_\ell^*\}$ (see [8, Section 1]). Since P is selfdual, we have

$$P = \{f \in L^2(G) \mid \forall \xi \in \mathcal{A}_\ell \cap \mathcal{A}_\ell^*: (f \mid \lambda(\xi)(J\xi)) \geq 0\}.$$

Thus $P \subseteq P_0$. Since P and P_0 are both selfdual, this implies that $P = P_0$. We have proved

Corollary. A function $f \in L^2(G)$ belongs to the positive self-dual cone of $L^2(G)$ if and only if

$$\forall \xi \in \mathcal{K}(G): \int f(x) (\xi * J\xi)(x) dx \geq 0.$$

Remark. This result is similar to the characterization of the cone P^b given in [18, p. 392] and proved in general in [9, Corollary 8]. The methods of [9] would also apply for our result. Our proof is based on the fact that $\mathcal{P}(f) = [\mathcal{P}(f) \mid \mathcal{K}(G)]$.

Note. We have proved that $\mathcal{P}: L^2(G) \rightarrow L^2(\psi_0)$ carries the left regular representation on $L^2(G)$ into left multiplication on $L^2(\psi_0)$, takes J into $*$, and maps the positive selfdual cone of $L^2(G)$ onto $L^2(\psi_0)_+$. That a unitary transformation $L^2(G) \rightarrow L^2(\psi_0)$ having these properties exists (and is unique) follows from [8, Theorem 2.3], since both representations of M are standard (by the spatial analogue of [10, Theorem 1.21, (3)]). In our approach, we have given a simple and direct definition of

We can give an explicit description of the inverse of \mathcal{P} :

Proposition 3.3. Let $T \in L^2(\psi_0)$, and let $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$ be an approximate identity in $\mathcal{K}(G)_+$. Then

$$\mathcal{P}^{-1}(T) = \lim_{i \in I} T\xi_i .$$

Proof. Let $f = \mathcal{P}^{-1}(T)$. Then

$$T\xi_i = \mathcal{P}(f)\xi_i = f * \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi_i \rightarrow f$$

in $L^2(G)$. ■

Remark. From Proposition 2.2 we already knew that for any approximate identity $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$, the $\|T\xi_i\|$ tend to a limit and that this limit is independent of the choice of $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$. Now, using that $L^2(\psi_0) = \mathcal{P}(L^2(G))$, we have proved that the same holds for the $T\xi_i$ themselves.

As a corollary, we have the following characterization of the inner product in $L^2(\psi_0)$, generalizing the formula for $\|T\|_2$ given in Proposition 2.2:

Corollary. Let $T, S \in L^2(\psi_0)$. Then

$$(T|S)_{L^2(\psi_0)} = \lim_{i \in I} (T\xi_i | S\xi_i)$$

for any approximate identity $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$ in $\mathcal{K}(G)_+$.

Proof. Since \mathcal{P} is unitary, we have

$$(T|S)_{L^2(\psi_0)} = (\mathcal{P}^{-1}(T) | \mathcal{P}^{-1}(S))_{L^2(G)} = \lim_{i \in I} (T\xi_i | S\xi_i)_{L^2(G)} . \quad \blacksquare$$

4. The L^p Fourier transformations.

Let $p \in [1, 2]$ and define $q \in [2, \infty]$ by $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

Definition. Let $f \in L^p(G)$. The L^p Fourier transform of f is the operator $\mathcal{F}_p(f)$ on $L^2(G)$ given by

$$\mathcal{F}_p(f)\xi = f * \Delta^{1/q} \xi, \quad \xi \in D(\mathcal{F}_p(f)),$$

where $D(\mathcal{F}_p(f)) = \{\xi \in L^2(G) \mid f * \Delta^{1/q} \xi \in L^2(G)\}$.

Note that by Lemma 1.1 the convolution product $f * \Delta^{1/q} \xi$ exist and belongs to $L^r(G)$, where $r \in [2, \infty]$ is given by

$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{r} = 1$, whenever $f \in L^p(G)$ and $\xi \in L^2(G)$, so that the definition of $D(\mathcal{F}_p(f))$ makes sense.

Remark. For $p = 1$, we write $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}$; we have $\mathcal{F}(f)\xi = f * \xi$ and $D(\mathcal{F}(f)) = L^2(G)$, so that $\mathcal{F}(f)$ is simply $\lambda(f)$. For $p = 2$, we have $\mathcal{F}_2(f) = \mathcal{P}(f)$.

Now again let $p \in [1, 2]$. Let $f \in L^p(G)$. Then the operator $\mathcal{F}_p(f)$ is closed. To see this, suppose that $\xi_i \in D(\mathcal{F}_p(f))$ converges in $L^2(G)$ to some $\xi \in L^2(G)$ and $\mathcal{F}_p(f)\xi_i$ converges in $L^2(G)$ to some $\eta \in L^2(G)$. Now by Lemma 1.1 we have

$$\mathcal{F}_p(f)\xi_i = f * \Delta^{1/q} \xi_i \rightarrow f * \Delta^{1/q} \xi \text{ in } L^r(G) \text{ (where } \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{r} = 1)$$

Therefore $f * \Delta^{1/q} \xi = \eta$, so that $f * \Delta^{1/q} \xi \in L^2(G)$, i.e.

$\xi \in D(\mathcal{F}_p(f))$ and $\mathcal{F}_p(f)\xi = \eta$ as wanted.

Next we show that $\mathcal{F}_p(f)$ is $(-\frac{1}{q})$ -homogeneous. For all $\xi \in D(\mathcal{F}_p(f))$ and all $x, y \in G$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho(x) (\mathcal{F}_p(f)\xi)(y) &= \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}(x) (f * \Delta^{1/q}\xi)(yx) \\
 &= \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}(x) \int f(z) \Delta^{1/q}(z^{-1}yx) \xi(z^{-1}yx) dz \\
 &= \Delta^{1/q}(x) \int f(z) \Delta^{1/q}(z^{-1}y) \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}(x) \xi(z^{-1}yx) dz \\
 &= \Delta^{1/q}(x) \int f(z) \Delta^{1/q}(z^{-1}y) (\rho(x)\xi)(z^{-1}y) dz \\
 &= \Delta^{1/q}(x) (f * \Delta^{1/q}\rho(x)\xi)(y) \\
 &= \Delta^{1/q}(x) (\mathcal{F}_p(f)\rho(x)\xi)(y) ,
 \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\rho(x) \mathcal{F}_p(f) \subseteq \Delta^{1/q}(x) \mathcal{F}_p(f) \rho(x)$$

for all $x \in G$ as wanted.

Finally, note that if $\xi \in L^2(G) \cap L^s(G)$ where $s \in [1, 2]$ is given by $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{2} = 1$, then $\xi \in D(\mathcal{F}_p(f))$ by Lemma 1.1. In particular, $\mathcal{K}(G) \subseteq D(\mathcal{F}_p(f))$.

In all, we have proved that for all $f \in L^p(G)$, $\mathcal{F}_p(f)$ is closed, densely defined, and $(-\frac{1}{q})$ -homogeneous. We shall see, using the criterion from Proposition 2.3, that actually $\mathcal{F}_p(f) \in L^q(\psi_0)$. The proof is based on interpolation from the special cases

$$\mathcal{F} : L^1(G) \rightarrow L^\infty(\psi_0)$$

and

$$\mathcal{P} : L^2(G) \rightarrow L^2(\psi_0) .$$

First we restrict our attention to $f \in \mathcal{K}(G)$.

Lemma 4.1. Let $p \in [1, 2]$. Denote by A the closed strip $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \mid \frac{1}{2} \leq \operatorname{Re} \alpha \leq 1\}$. Let $f \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_\ell$. Then:

(i) for each $\alpha \in A$, the convolution product

$$\xi_\alpha' = \operatorname{sg}(f) |f|^{p\alpha} * \Delta^{1-\alpha} \xi$$

exists, and $\xi_\alpha \in L^2(G)$;

(ii) the function

$$\alpha \mapsto \xi_\alpha, \quad \alpha \in A,$$

with values in $L^2(G)$ is bounded;

(iii) for each $\eta \in L^2(G)$, the scalar function

$$\alpha \mapsto (\xi_\alpha | \eta), \quad \alpha \in A,$$

is continuous on A and analytic in the interior of A

Proof. Write $g = \Delta^{-1/p} f$. Then

$$\forall \alpha \in A: \operatorname{sg}(f) |f|^{p\alpha} = \Delta^{-\alpha} (\operatorname{sg}(g) |g|^{p\alpha})^v.$$

Note that g as well as all $\operatorname{sg}(g) |g|^{p\alpha}$, $\alpha \in A$, belong to $\mathcal{K}(G)$.

For each $\eta \in \mathcal{K}(G)$, we define

$$(1) \quad H_\eta(\alpha) = \int \xi(x) (\operatorname{sg}(g) |g|^{p\alpha} * \Delta^{1-\alpha} \eta)(x) dx, \quad \alpha \in A$$

i.e.

$$(2) \quad H_\eta(\alpha) = \iint \xi(x) (\operatorname{sg}(g) |g|^{p\alpha})(y) \Delta^{1-\alpha}(y^{-1}x) \eta(y^{-1}x) dy dx,$$

(later we shall recognize $H_\eta(\alpha)$ as simply $(\xi_\alpha | \bar{\eta})$).

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \forall \alpha \in A: \| |sg(g)| |g|^{p\alpha} | \Delta^{1-\alpha} \eta \|_2 \\
 (3) \qquad \qquad \qquad \leq \| |g|^p | \operatorname{Re} \alpha \|_1 \| \Delta^{1-\operatorname{Re} \alpha} \eta \|_2 \\
 \qquad \qquad \qquad \leq K < \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

where K is a constant independent of $\alpha \in A$. In particular, this allows us to apply Fubini's theorem to the double integral (2). We find

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_\eta(\alpha) &= \iint \xi(x) (sg(g) |g|^{p\alpha}) (y^{-1}) \Delta^{1-\alpha} (yx) \eta(yx) \Delta^{-1}(y) dy dx \\
 &= \iint \xi(y^{-1}x) (sg(g) |g|^{p\alpha}) (y^{-1}) \Delta^{1-\alpha}(x) \eta(x) \Delta^{-1}(y) dx dy \\
 &= \iint (sg(f) |f|^{p\alpha}) (y) \Delta^{1-\alpha}(y^{-1}x) \xi(y^{-1}x) \eta(x) dy dx ;
 \end{aligned}$$

it also follows that the convolution integral

$$\xi_\alpha(x) = \int (sg(f) |f|^{p\alpha}) (y) \Delta^{1-\alpha}(y^{-1}x) \xi(y^{-1}x) dy$$

exists, so that we can write

$$H_\eta(\alpha) = \int \xi_\alpha(x) \eta(x) dx .$$

Now we shall prove that there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ independent of α such that

$$(4) \qquad \qquad \qquad \forall \eta \in \mathcal{K}(G): \left| \int \xi_\alpha(x) \eta(x) dx \right| \leq C \| \eta \|_2 .$$

This will imply that each ξ_α , $\alpha \in A$, is in $L^2(G)$ with $\| \xi_\alpha \|_2 \leq C$, i.e. (i) and (ii) will be proved.

Let us prove (4). Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|f\|_p = 1$. We want to show then that

$$(5) \quad \forall \eta \in \mathcal{K}(G): |H_\eta(\alpha)| \leq (\|\lambda(\xi)\| + \|\xi\|_2) \|\eta\|_2.$$

To do this, we shall apply the Phragmen-Lindelöf principle [24, p 93].

Fix $\eta \in \mathcal{K}(G)$. By (2), H_η is continuous on A and analytic in the interior of A (the integrand in (2) can be majorized by an integrable function that is independent of α). Furthermore, H_η is bounded (use (3) and (1)). Finally, we shall estimate H_η on the boundaries of A .

Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\Delta^{-it}\xi \in \mathcal{Q}_2$ and $\|\lambda(\Delta^{-it}\xi)\| \leq \|\lambda(\xi)\|$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P}(sg(f)|f|^{p(\frac{1}{2}+it)})(\Delta^{-it}\xi) \\ &= sg(f)|f|^{p(\frac{1}{2}+it)} * \Delta^{1-(\frac{1}{2}+it)}\xi = \xi_{\frac{1}{2}+it}, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\xi_{\frac{1}{2}+it} \in L^2(G)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi_{\frac{1}{2}+it}\|_2 &\leq \|\mathcal{P}(sg(f)|f|^{p(\frac{1}{2}+it)})\|_2 \|\lambda(\Delta^{-it}\xi)\| \\ &\leq \|sg(f)|f|^{p(\frac{1}{2}+it)}\|_2 \|\lambda(\xi)\| \\ &= \| |f|^{p/2} \|_2 \|\lambda(\xi)\| \\ &= \|\lambda(\xi)\| \end{aligned}$$

(where we have used Proposition 2.2, the fact that \mathcal{P} is unitary and the hypothesis $\|f\|_p = 1$). Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\operatorname{sg}(f)|f|^{p(1+it)})(\Delta^{-it}\xi) \\ = \operatorname{sg}(f)|f|^{p(1+it)} * \Delta^{1-(1+it)}\xi = \xi_{1+it}, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\xi_{1+it} \in L^2(G)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi_{1+it}\|_2 &\leq \|\mathcal{F}(\operatorname{sg}(f)|f|^{p(1+it)})\|_\infty \|\Delta^{-it}\xi\|_2 \\ &\leq \|\operatorname{sg}(f)|f|^{p(1+it)}\|_1 \|\xi\|_2 \\ &= \| |f|^p \|_1 \|\xi\|_2 \\ &= \|\xi\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

(where we have used that $\mathcal{F} : L^1(G) \rightarrow L^\infty(\psi_0)$ is norm-decreasing).

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \forall t \in \mathbb{R}: |H_\eta(\frac{1}{2}+it)| &= \left| \int \xi_{\frac{1}{2}+it}(x)\eta(x)dx \right| \\ &\leq \|\xi_{\frac{1}{2}+it}\|_2 \|\eta\|_2 \leq \|\lambda(\xi)\| \|\eta\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \forall t \in \mathbb{R}: |H_\eta(1+it)| &= \left| \int \xi_{1+it}(x)\eta(x)dx \right| \\ &\leq \|\xi_{1+it}\|_2 \|\eta\|_2 \leq \|\xi\|_2 \|\eta\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then by the Phragmen-Lindelöf principle, we have established (5) and thus (i) and (ii).

Finally, (iii) is easy. Indeed, since $\alpha \mapsto \xi_\alpha$ is bounded, each $\alpha \mapsto (\xi_\alpha | \eta)$, where $\eta \in L^2(G)$, can be uniformly approximated by functions $\alpha \mapsto (\xi_\alpha | \zeta)$ with $\zeta \in \mathcal{K}(G)$, so we just have to prove (iii) in the case of $\eta \in \mathcal{K}(G)$. This is already done since $(\xi_\alpha | \eta) = H_\eta(\alpha)$. ■

Lemma 4.2. Let $p \in [1, 2]$. Let $f \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ and $S \in L^P(\psi_0)$. Then for all $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda \cap D(S)$ we have

$$|(\mathcal{F}_p(f)\xi | S\eta)| \leq \|f\|_p \|S\|_p \|\lambda(\xi)\| \|\lambda(\eta)\|.$$

Note that $\xi \in D(\mathcal{F}_p(f))$ by Lemma 4.1.

Proof. We may assume that $\|f\|_p = 1$ and $\|S\|_p = 1$. Furthermore by Lemma 2.5, we need only consider $\eta \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda \cap D(|S|^P)$.

Let $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda \cap D(|S|^P)$. For each α in the closed strip $A = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \mid \frac{1}{2} \leq \operatorname{Re} \alpha \leq 1\}$, put $\xi_\alpha = \operatorname{sg}(f) |f|^{p\alpha} * \Delta^{1-\alpha} \xi$ as in Lemma 4.1. Note that for all $\alpha \in A$ we have (by spectral theory) $\eta \in D(|S|^{p\alpha})$ and

$$\| |S|^{p\alpha} \eta \|_2^2 \leq \| |S|^{p/2} \eta \|_2^2 + \| |S|^p \eta \|_2^2,$$

where $S = U|S|$ is the polar decomposition of S . For each $\alpha \in A$, put

$$\eta_\alpha = U|S|^{p\alpha} \eta.$$

Then the function $\alpha \mapsto \eta_\alpha$ with values in $L^2(G)$ is bounded on A . Furthermore, by [22, 9.15], it is continuous on A and analytic in the interior of A .

Now for each $\alpha \in A$, let

$$H(\alpha) = (\xi_\alpha | \eta_{\bar{\alpha}}).$$

Then obviously H is bounded on A (by Lemma 4.1 (ii), $\alpha \mapsto \xi_\alpha$ is bounded). Furthermore, H is continuous on A . To see this, note that

$$\forall \alpha, \alpha_0 \in A: (\xi_\alpha | \eta_{\bar{\alpha}}) - (\xi_{\alpha_0} | \eta_{\bar{\alpha}_0}) = (\xi_\alpha | \eta_{\bar{\alpha} - \eta_{\bar{\alpha}_0}}) + (\xi_\alpha - \xi_{\alpha_0} | \eta_{\bar{\alpha}_0}) ;$$

the continuity follows since $\alpha \mapsto \xi_\alpha$ is bounded and weakly continuous (Lemma 4.1 (iii)). Finally, we claim that H is analytic in the interior of A . First note that for each $\zeta \in L^2(G)$ the function $\alpha \mapsto (\zeta | \eta_{\bar{\alpha}})$, being equal to $\alpha \mapsto (\overline{(\zeta | \eta_{\bar{\alpha}})})$, is analytic. Next, recall that $\alpha \mapsto \xi_\alpha$ is actually analytic as a function with values in $L^2(G)$ (by Lemma 4.1 (iii) and [19, Theorem 3.31]). Then, writing

$$\frac{(\xi_\alpha | \eta_{\bar{\alpha}}) - (\xi_{\alpha_0} | \eta_{\bar{\alpha}_0})}{\alpha - \alpha_0} = \left(\frac{1}{\alpha - \alpha_0} (\xi_\alpha - \xi_{\alpha_0}) | \eta_{\bar{\alpha}} \right) + \frac{(\xi_{\alpha_0} | \eta_{\bar{\alpha}}) - (\xi_{\alpha_0} | \eta_{\bar{\alpha}_0})}{\alpha - \alpha_0} ,$$

we find that H has a derivative at each point α_0 in the interior of A .

Now suppose that

$$(1) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}: |H(\frac{1}{2} + it)| \leq \|\lambda(\xi)\| \|\lambda(\eta)\|$$

and

$$(2) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}: |H(1 + it)| \leq \|\lambda(\xi)\| \|\lambda(\eta)\| .$$

Then by the Phragmen-Lindelöf principle [24, p. 93] we infer that

$$\forall \alpha \in A: |H(\alpha)| \leq \|\lambda(\xi)\| \|\lambda(\eta)\| ;$$

in particular,

$$|(\mathcal{F}_p(f) \xi | S_\eta)| \leq \|\lambda(\xi)\| \|\lambda(\eta)\|$$

as desired, since

$$H\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) = (f * \Delta^{1-1/p} \xi | U | S | \eta) = (\mathcal{F}_p(f) | S_\eta) .$$

So we just have to prove (1) and (2).

Since $S \in L^P(\psi_0)$ with $\|S\|_P = 1$ we have

$$(3) \quad U|S|^{P/2} \in L^2(\psi_0) \quad \text{with} \quad \|U|S|^{P/2}\|_2 = 1$$

and

$$(4) \quad U|S|^P \in L^1(\psi_0) \quad \text{with} \quad \|U|S|^P\|_1 = 1.$$

Now let $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$(5) \quad |S|^{-pit} \eta \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda \quad \text{with} \quad \|\lambda(|S|^{-pit} \eta)\| \leq \|\lambda(\eta)\|.$$

Using this, Proposition 2.2, the estimate $\|\xi_{\frac{1}{2}+it}\|_2 \leq \|\lambda(\xi)\|$ given in the proof of Lemma 4.1, and (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |H(\frac{1}{2}+it)| &= |(\xi_{\frac{1}{2}+it} U|S|^{P/2} |S|^{-pit} \eta)| \\ &\leq \|\xi_{\frac{1}{2}+it}\|_2 \|U|S|^{P/2} |S|^{-pit} \eta\|_2 \\ &\leq \|\lambda(\xi)\| \|U|S|^{P/2}\|_2 \|\lambda(|S|^{-pit} \eta)\|_2 \\ &\leq \|\lambda(\xi)\| \|\lambda(\eta)\|, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., (1) is proved. To prove (2), note that

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{1+it} &= \text{sg}(f) |f|^{P(1+it)} \Delta^{1-(1+it)} \xi \\ &= \lambda(\text{sg}(f) |f|^{P(1+it)}) \Delta^{-it} \xi \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda(\xi_{1+it})\| &\leq \|\lambda(\text{sg}(f) |f|^{P(1+it)})\| \|\lambda(\Delta^{-it} \xi)\| \\ &\leq \|\text{sg}(f) |f|^{P(1+it)}\|_1 \|\lambda(\xi)\| \\ &\leq \|\lambda(\xi)\| \end{aligned}$$

since $\|\text{sg}(f) |f|^{P(1+it)}\|_1 = \| |f|^P \|_1 = 1$. Using this together with (5), Proposition 2.1, and (4), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 |H(1+it)| &= |(\xi_{1+it} |U|S|^P |S|^{-pit_\tau})| \\
 &\leq \|\lambda(\xi_{1+it})\| \| |U|S|^P \|_1 \| \lambda(|S|^{-pit_\tau}) \| \\
 &\leq \|\lambda(\xi)\| \|\lambda(\eta)\| ,
 \end{aligned}$$

so that (2) is proved. ■

In the formulation of the following theorem we include the case $p = 2$. Note however that the proof is based on the results for this special case (they were used for the preceding lemmas).

Theorem 4.1. (Hausdorff-Young). Let $p \in]1,2]$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

1) Let $f \in L^P(G)$. Then $\mathcal{F}_p(f) \in L^q(\psi_0)$ and

$$\|\mathcal{F}_p(f)\|_q \leq \|f\|_p .$$

2) The mapping

$$\mathcal{F}_p: L^P(G) \rightarrow L^q(\psi_0)$$

is linear, norm-decreasing, injective, and has dense range.

3) For all $h \in L^1(G)$ and $f \in L^P(G)$, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_p(h*f) = [\lambda(h) \mathcal{F}_p(f)] .$$

4) For all $f \in L^P(G)$, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_p(J_p f) = \mathcal{F}_p(f)^* .$$

Proof. 1) First suppose that $f \in \mathcal{K}(G)$. Then, using Proposition 2.3, we conclude from Lemma 4.2 that $\mathcal{F}_p(f) \in L^q(\psi_0)$ with

$\| \mathcal{F}_p(f) \|_q \leq \| f \|_p$. Thus we have defined a norm-decreasing mapping

$$\mathcal{F}_p|_{\mathcal{K}(G)} : L^p(G) \rightarrow L^q(\psi_0).$$

Furthermore $\mathcal{F}_p|_{\mathcal{K}(G)}$ is linear: for all $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ and all $\xi \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ we have

$$(f_1 + f_2) * \Delta^{1/q} \xi = f_1 * \Delta^{1/q} \xi + f_2 * \Delta^{1/q} \xi$$

so that $\mathcal{F}_p(f_1 + f_2) = [\mathcal{F}_p(f_1) + \mathcal{F}_p(f_2)]$ by Proposition 2.4.

Now $\mathcal{F}_p|_{\mathcal{K}(G)}$ extends by continuity to a norm-decreasing linear mapping

$$\mathcal{F}_p' : L^p(G) \rightarrow L^q(\psi_0).$$

We claim that for all $f \in L^p(G)$, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_p'(f) = \mathcal{F}_p(f).$$

This will prove 1).

Let $f \in L^p(G)$. Then $\mathcal{F}_p'(f) \in L^q(\psi_0)$ and $\mathcal{K}(G) \subseteq D(\mathcal{F}_p'(f))$ by Lemma 2.7. On the other hand, by the remarks at the beginning of this section, $\mathcal{F}_p(f)$ is closed, densely defined, and $(-\frac{1}{q})$ -homogeneous, and $\mathcal{K}(G) \subseteq D(\mathcal{F}_p(f))$. Thus by Lemma 2. to conclude that $\mathcal{F}_p'(f) = \mathcal{F}_p(f)$ we just have to show that

$$\forall \xi \in \mathcal{K}(G) : \mathcal{F}_p'(f)\xi = \mathcal{F}_p(f)\xi.$$

Now, take $f_n \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^p(G)$. Then for all $\xi \in \mathcal{K}(G)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_p(f_n)\xi &= f_n * \Delta^{1/q} \xi \\ &\rightarrow f * \Delta^{1/q} \xi = \mathcal{F}_p(f)\xi \text{ in } L^p(G). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since \mathcal{F}_p' is continuous,

$$\mathcal{F}_p(f_n)\xi = \mathcal{F}_p'(f_n)\xi \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_p'(f)\xi \text{ in } L^2(G)$$

by Lemma 2.7. We conclude that $\mathcal{F}_p(f)\xi = \mathcal{F}_p'(f)\xi$ as desired.

Thus 1) is proved.

2) By the proof of 1), we just have to show that \mathcal{F}_p is injective and has dense range. The injectivity is evident: if $\mathcal{F}_p(f) = 0$ for some $f \in L^p(G)$, then $f * \Delta^{1/q}\xi = 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{K}(G)$, and thus $f = 0$. That $\mathcal{F}_p(L^p(G))$ is dense will be proved later.

3) For all $h \in L^1(G)$, $f \in L^p(G)$, and $\xi \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ we have

$$h * (f * \Delta^{1/q}\xi) = (h * f) * \Delta^{1/q}\xi$$

(in $L^p(G)$). Thus by Proposition 2.4,

$$[\lambda(h) \mathcal{F}_p(f)] = \mathcal{F}_p(h * f).$$

4) Let $f \in \mathcal{K}(G)$. Then for $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{F}_p(J_p f)\xi | \eta) &= (J_p f * \Delta^{1/q}\xi | \eta) \\ &= (\Delta^{1/q}\xi | \Delta^{-1}(J_p f) \sim * \eta) \\ &= (\xi | \Delta^{1/q}(\Delta^{-1}\Delta^{1/p} f * \eta)) \\ &= (\xi | f * \Delta^{1/q}\eta) \\ &= (\xi | \mathcal{F}_p(f)\eta), \end{aligned}$$

so that $\mathcal{F}_p(J_p f) | \mathcal{K}(G) \subseteq (\mathcal{F}_p(f) | \mathcal{K}(G))^*$. By Proposition 2.4, we conclude that

$$\mathcal{F}_p(J_p f) = \mathcal{F}_p(f) * .$$

By the continuity of J_p , \mathcal{F}_p , and $*$, this holds for all $f \in L^p(G)$.

Finally, let us show that $\mathcal{F}_p(L^p(G))$ is dense in $L^q(\psi_0)$. By the duality between $L^q(\psi_0)$ and $L^p(\psi_0)$, this is equivalent to proving that if $T \in L^p(\psi_0)$ satisfies $\int [\mathcal{F}_p(f)T] d\psi_0 = 0$ for all $f \in L^p(G)$, then $T = 0$.

Suppose that $T \in L^p(\psi_0)$ is such that

$$\forall f \in L^p(G): \int [\mathcal{F}_p(f)T] d\psi_0 = 0 .$$

Let $f \in L^p(G)$. Then for all $h \in L^1(G)$ we have

$$\int [\mathcal{F}_p(h*f)T] d\psi_0 = 0 .$$

Alternatively stated, since $[\mathcal{F}_p(h*f)T] = [[\lambda(h) \mathcal{F}_p(f)]T] = [\lambda(h)[\mathcal{F}_p(f)T]]$, we have

$$\forall h \in L^1(G): \int [\lambda(h)[\mathcal{F}_p(f)T]] d\psi_0 = 0 .$$

We conclude that the normal functional on M defined by $[\mathcal{F}_p(f)T] \in L^1(\psi_0)$ is 0, so that

$$[\mathcal{F}_p(f)T] = 0 .$$

Changing f into $J_p f$ and using 4) this gives

$$\forall f \in L^p(G): [\mathcal{F}_p(f)*T] = 0 .$$

Now let $\xi \in D(T)$. Then using [12, II, Proposition 5, 1)], we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \forall f, \eta \in \mathcal{K}(G) : (T\xi | f * \Delta^{1/q_1}) \\ = (T\xi | \mathcal{F}_p(f) \eta) \\ = \langle [\mathcal{F}_p(f) * T], \lambda(\xi) \lambda(-)^* \rangle = 0 . \end{aligned}$$

Thus $T\xi = 0$. This proves that $T = 0$ as wanted. ■

Proposition 4.1. Let $p \in [1, 2]$. Let $f \in L^p(G)$. Then

$\mathcal{F}_p(f) \geq 0$ if and only if

$$\forall \xi \in \mathcal{K}(G) : \int f(x) (\xi * J_p \xi)(x) dx \geq 0 .$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{F}_p(f) \xi | \xi) &= \int (f * \Delta^{1/p} \xi)(x) \overline{\xi(x)} dx \\ &= \int f(x) (\overline{\xi} * \Delta^{-1/p} \xi)(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

for all $\xi \in \mathcal{K}(G)$. The result follows by changing ξ into $\overline{\xi}$ and recalling that $\mathcal{F}_p(f) = [\mathcal{F}_p(f) | \mathcal{K}(G)]$. ■

The L^p Fourier transformations are well-behaved with respect to convolution as the following proposition shows. The result generalizes 3) of the theorem.

Proposition 4.2. Let $p_1, p_2, p \in [1, 2]$ such that $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{p} = 1$.

Define $q_1 \in [2, \infty]$ by $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{q_1} = 1$. Let $f_1 \in L^{p_1}(G)$ and $f_2 \in L^{p_2}(G)$. Then

$$\mathcal{F}_p(f_1 * \Delta^{1/q_1} f_2) = [\mathcal{F}_{p_1}(f_1) \mathcal{F}_{p_2}(f_2)] .$$

Proof. By Lemma 1.1, we have $f_1 * \Delta^{1/q_1} f_2 \in L^p(G)$, and $(f_1, f_2) \mapsto \mathcal{F}_p(f_1 * \Delta^{1/q_1} f_2)$ maps $L^{p_1}(G) \times L^{p_2}(G)$ continuously into $L^q(\psi_0)$ (where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$). Also $[\mathcal{F}_{p_1}(f_1) \mathcal{F}_{p_2}(f_2)]$ is continuous as a function of $(f_1, f_2) \in L^{p_1}(G) \times L^{p_2}(G)$ with values in $L^q(\psi_0)$. Thus we need only prove the statement for $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{K}(G)$. Since

$$(f_1 * \Delta^{1/q_1} f_2) * \Delta^{1/q} \xi = f_1 * \Delta^{1/q_1} (f_2 * \Delta^{1/q_2} \xi)$$

(where $\frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{q_2} = 1$) for all $f_1, f_2, \xi \in \mathcal{K}(G)$, the result follows by Proposition 2.4 as usual. ■

We conclude this section by the following characterization of the image of $L^p(G)$ under \mathcal{F}_p :

Proposition 4.3. Let $p \in]1, 2]$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Let $T \in L^q(\psi_0)$

- 1) If $T = \mathcal{F}_p(f)$ for some $f \in L^p(G)$, then for any approximate identity $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$ in $\mathcal{K}(G)_+$ we have

$$T \xi_i \rightarrow f \text{ in } L^p(G).$$

In particular, $\lim \|T \xi_i\|_p = \|f\|_p < \infty$.

- 2) Conversely, suppose that for some approximate identity $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$ in $\mathcal{K}(G)_+$ we have $T \xi_i \in L^p(G)$ for all $i \in I$ and

$$\lim \inf \|T \xi_i\|_p < \infty.$$

Then $T \in \mathcal{F}_p(L^p(G))$.

Proof. The first part is obvious since $T\xi_i = f * \Delta^{1/q} \xi_i \rightarrow f$ in $L^p(G)$ and therefore $\|T\xi_i\|_p \rightarrow \|f\|_p$. Now suppose that the hypothesis of 2) holds for some $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$. We then proceed as in the proof of the surjectivity of \mathcal{P} (Theorem 3.1). For all $\eta, \zeta \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta * \Delta^{-1/q} \zeta | T\xi_i) &= (\eta | (T\xi_i) * \Delta^{1/q} \zeta) \\ &= (\eta | T(\xi_i * \zeta)) \\ &= (T^* \eta | \xi_i * \zeta) \\ &\rightarrow (T^* \eta | \zeta) = (\eta | T\zeta) . \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can define a linear functional F on $\mathcal{K}(G) * \mathcal{K}(G)$ by

$$F(\xi) = \lim_i \int \xi(x) \overline{(T\xi_i)(x)} dx .$$

Since

$$\left| \int \xi(x) \overline{(T\xi_i)(x)} dx \right| \leq \|\xi\|_q \|T\xi_i\|_p$$

we have

$$|F(\xi)| \leq (\liminf_i \|T\xi_i\|_p) \cdot \|\xi\|_q .$$

Now since $\mathcal{K}(G) * \mathcal{K}(G)$ is dense in $L^q(G)$, F extends to a bounded functional on $L^q(G)$ and therefore is given by some $\bar{f} \in L^p(G)$:

$$F(\xi) = \int \xi(x) \overline{\bar{f}(x)} dx .$$

In particular,

$$(\eta | T\zeta) = F(\eta * \Delta^{-1/q\zeta}) = \int (\eta * \Delta^{-1/q\zeta})(x) \overline{f(x)} dx$$

for all $\eta, \zeta \in \mathcal{K}(G)$. Since

$$\int (\eta * \Delta^{-1/q\zeta})(x) \overline{f(x)} dx = \int \eta(x) \overline{(f * \Delta^{1/q\zeta})(x)} dx = (\eta | \mathcal{F}_p(f)\zeta),$$

this implies that

$$\forall \zeta \in \mathcal{K}(G): T\zeta = \mathcal{F}_p(f)\zeta,$$

and we conclude by Proposition 2.4 that $T = \mathcal{F}_p(f)$. ■

Remark. For $p = 1$, part 2) of the above proposition fails.

(For a counter-example, take $T = \lambda(x)$, $x \in G$.)

5. The L^p Fourier cotransformations.

Definition. Let $p \in [1, 2]$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. For each $T \in L^p(\psi)$ denote by $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_p(T)$ the unique function in $L^q(G)$ such that

$$\int h(x) \overline{\mathcal{F}}_p(T)(x) dx = \int [\mathcal{F}_p(h) T] d\psi_0$$

for all $h \in L^p(G)$ (or just $h \in \mathcal{K}(G)$, or $h \in \mathcal{K}(G) * \mathcal{K}(G)$).

The mapping

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}}_p: L^p(\psi_0) \rightarrow L^q(G)$$

thus defined will be called the L^p Fourier cotransformation.

For $p = 1$, we write $\overline{\mathcal{F}} = \overline{\mathcal{F}}_1$.

Note that if $1 < p \leq 2$, then $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_p$ is simply the transpose of $\mathcal{F}_p: L^p(G) \rightarrow L^q(\psi_0)$ when we identify the dual spaces of $L^p(G)$ and $L^q(\psi_0)$ with $L^q(G)$ and $L^p(\psi_0)$, respectively.

The mapping $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ takes an element $T \in L^1(\psi_0)$ into the unique function $\varphi \in A(G)$ that defines the same element of M_* as T does; in particular,

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}}\left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi_0}\right) = \varphi$$

for all $\varphi \in (M_*)^+ \simeq A(G)_+$.

In view of these remarks, we obviously have

Theorem 5.1.

1) Let $p \in]1, 2]$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Then

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}}_p: L^p(\psi_0) \rightarrow L^q(G)$$

is linear, norm-decreasing, injective, and has dense range.

2) The mapping

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}}: L^1(\psi_0) \rightarrow A(G)$$

is an isometry of $L^1(\psi_0)$ onto $A(G)$.

Remark. With our definition of the cotransformations, $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_2$ is not exactly the inverse of \mathcal{P} ; they are related by the formula

$$\forall T \in L^2(\psi_0): \overline{\mathcal{F}}_2(T) = \overline{\mathcal{P}^{-1}(T^*)}$$

(since for all $h \in L^2(G)$ we have $\int h(x) \overline{\mathcal{F}}_2(T)(x) dx = \int [\overline{\mathcal{F}}_2(h)T] d\psi_0 = (\overline{\mathcal{F}}_2(h) | T^*)_{L^2(\psi_0)} = (h | \mathcal{P}^{-1}(T^*))_{L^2(G)} =$

$\int h(x) \overline{\mathcal{P}^{-1}(T^*)(x)} dx$. It follows that $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_2: L^2(\psi_0) \rightarrow L^2(G)$ is unitary.

The classical Hausdorff-Young theorem [24, p. 101] has a second part, stating that with each $c \in \ell_p(\mathbb{Z})$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$, we can associate a function $f \in L^q(\mathbb{T})$ with $\|f\|_q \leq \|c\|_p$, such that c is the sequence of Fourier coefficients of f . Theorem 5.1 is a generalization of this result. Indeed, let $T \in L^p(\psi_0)$ and put $g = \Delta^{-1/q} \overline{\mathcal{F}}_p(T)^\vee$. Then $g \in L^q(G)$ and $\|g\|_q = \|\overline{\mathcal{F}}_p(T)\|_q \leq \|T\|_p$, and we shall see that T is close to being the " L^q Fourier transform" of g in the sense that $T\xi = g^* \Delta^{1/p} \xi$ for certain ξ (note that we do not in general define L^q Fourier transforms $q \geq 2$).

Proposition 5.1. Let $p \in [1, 2]$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Then for all $T \in L^p(\psi_0)$, we have

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}}_p(T^*) = J_q(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_p(T)) .$$

Proof. For all $h \in L^p(G)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int h(x) \overline{\mathcal{F}}_p(T^*)(x) dx &= \int [\mathcal{F}_p(h) T^*] d\psi_0 \\ &= \overline{\int [T \mathcal{F}_p(h)^*] d\psi_0} = \overline{\int [T \mathcal{F}_p(J_p h)] d\psi_0} \\ &= \overline{\int \mathcal{F}_p(T)(x) \Delta^{-1/p}(x) \overline{h(x^{-1})} dx} \\ &= \int \Delta^{-1/q}(x) \overline{\mathcal{F}_p(T)(x^{-1})} h(x) dx . \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.1. Let $h, k \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ and put $\varphi = h * \tilde{k}$. Then $[\lambda(\varphi)\Delta] \in L^1(\psi_0)$ and

$$\int [\lambda(\varphi)\Delta] d\psi_0 = \varphi(e).$$

roof. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\varphi)\Delta &= \lambda(h)\lambda(\tilde{k})\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\subseteq \lambda(h)\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda(\Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{k})\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(h)\mathcal{P}(k)^*, \end{aligned}$$

the closure $[\lambda(\varphi)\Delta]$ exists and $[\lambda(\varphi)\Delta] \subseteq [\mathcal{P}(h)\mathcal{P}(k)^*]$. One easily checks that for all $x \in G$ we have $\rho(x)\lambda(\varphi)\Delta \subseteq \Delta(x)\lambda(\varphi)\Delta\rho(x)$, i.e. that $\lambda(\varphi)\Delta$ is (-1) -homogeneous. Then also $[\lambda(\varphi)\Delta]$ is (-1) -homogeneous, and we conclude by Proposition 2.4 that $[\lambda(\varphi)\Delta] = [\mathcal{P}(h)\mathcal{P}(k)^*]$, so that $[\lambda(\varphi)\Delta] \in L^1(\psi_0)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int [\lambda(\varphi)\Delta] d\psi_0 &= (\mathcal{P}(h) | \mathcal{P}(k))_{L^2(\psi_0)} \\ &= \int h(x)\overline{k(x)} dx = (h * \tilde{k})(e) = \varphi(e). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $f_1 \in L^{p_1}(G)$ and $f_2 \in L^{p_2}(G)$, where $p_1, p_2 \in [1, 2]$. In Proposition 4.2, a formula relating $f_1 * \Delta^{1/q_1} f_2$ and $[\mathcal{F}_{p_1}(f_1) \mathcal{F}_{p_2}(f_2)]$ was given in the case where $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} \geq \frac{3}{2}$ (under this assumption, $p \in [1, 2]$ satisfying $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{p} = 1$ exists). The following proposition takes care of the case where $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} \leq \frac{3}{2}$.

Proposition 5.2. Let $p_1, p_2 \in [1, 2]$ and $q \in [2, \infty]$ such that $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Let $f_1 \in L^{p_1}(G)$ and $f_2 \in L^{p_2}(G)$. Then

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}_p([\mathcal{F}_{p_1}(f_1) \mathcal{F}_{p_2}(f_2)])} = \Delta^{-1/q} (f_1 * \Delta^{1/q_1} f_2)^\vee,$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{q_1} = 1$.

Proof. Both expressions exist, belong to $L^q(G)$, and are continuous as functions of $(f_1, f_2) \in L^{p_1}(G) \times L^{p_2}(G)$. Thus we need only prove the formula for $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{K}(G)$. In this case, for all $h \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ we have

$$h * \Delta^{1/q} (f_1 * \Delta^{1/q_1} (f_2 * \Delta^{1/q_2} \xi)) = h * \Delta^{1/q} (f_1 * \Delta^{1/q_1} f_2) * \Delta \xi,$$

where $\frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{q_2} = 1$. We conclude by Proposition 2.4 that

$$\forall h \in \mathcal{K}(G): [\mathcal{F}_p(h) [\mathcal{F}_{p_1}(f_1) \mathcal{F}_{p_2}(f_2)]] = [\lambda (h * \Delta^{1/q} f) \Delta],$$

where we have written $f = f_1 * \Delta^{1/q_1} f_2$. Using this and Lemma 5.1, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \forall h \in \mathcal{K}(G): & \int [\mathcal{F}_p(h) [\mathcal{F}_{p_1}(f_1) \mathcal{F}_{p_2}(f_2)]] d\psi_0 \\ &= \int [\lambda (h * \Delta^{1/q} f) \Delta] d\psi_0 \\ &= (h * \Delta^{1/q} f)(e) \\ &= \int h(x) \Delta^{1/q} f(x^{-1}) dx. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}_p([\mathcal{F}_{p_1}(f_1) \mathcal{F}_{p_2}(f_2)])} = \Delta^{-1/q} f^\vee.$$

as desired. ■

Corollary. Let $f, g \in L^2(G)$. Then

$$f * \tilde{g} = \overline{\mathcal{F}}([\mathcal{P}(\overline{g}) \mathcal{P}(\overline{f})^*]) .$$

Proof. Letting $p_1 = p_2 = 2$ and $q = \infty$ in Proposition 5.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{F}}([\mathcal{P}(\overline{g}) \mathcal{P}(\overline{f})^*]) &= \overline{\mathcal{F}}([\mathcal{F}_2(\overline{g}) \mathcal{F}_2(\overline{f})]) \\ &= (\overline{g} * \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \overline{f})^\vee = f * \tilde{g} . \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Remark. Since $A(G) = \overline{\mathcal{F}}(L^1(\psi_0))$ and since every $T \in L^1(\psi_0)$ can be written $T = [RS^*]$ where $R, S \in L^2(\psi_0) = \mathcal{P}(L^2(G))$ (just put $R = U|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $S^* = |T|^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where $T = U|T|$ is the polar decomposition of T), we have reproved the fact [6, Théorème, p. 218] that $A(G) = \{f * \tilde{g} \mid f, g \in L^2(G)\}$. It also follows that $\|\varphi\|_{A(G)} \leq \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2$ whenever $\varphi = f * \tilde{g}$, $f, g \in L^2(G)$ (since $\|[\mathcal{P}(\overline{g}) \mathcal{P}(\overline{f})^*]\|_1 \leq \|\mathcal{P}(\overline{g})\|_2 \|\mathcal{P}(\overline{f})\|_2$), and that, given $\varphi \in A(G)$, there exist $f, g \in L^2(G)$ with $\varphi = f * \tilde{g}$ such that $\|\varphi\|_{A(G)} = \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2$ (use that $\|T\|_1 = \|U|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_2 \| |T|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_2$ for $T \in L^1(\psi_0)$).

Proposition 5.3. Let $p \in [1, 2]$ and $q_1, q_2 \in [2, \infty]$ such that $\frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2} = \frac{1}{p}$. Let $T \in L^{q_1}(\psi_0)$ and $S \in L^{q_2}(\psi_0)$. Then

$$(T\xi | S\eta) = \int \overline{\mathcal{F}}_p([S^*T])(x) (\xi * J_p \eta)(x) dx$$

for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{K}(G)$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.7, the left hand side of the equation to be proved is a continuous function of T and S . The same is true of the right hand side. Therefore it is enough to prove the statement for T and S belonging to the (dense) sets $\mathcal{F}_{P_1}(\mathcal{K}(G))$ and $\mathcal{F}_{P_2}(\mathcal{K}(G))$ (where, as usual, $\frac{1}{P_1} + \frac{1}{Q_1} = 1$, $\frac{1}{P_2} + \frac{1}{Q_2} = 1$).

Now suppose that $T = \mathcal{F}_{P_1}(h)$ and $S = \mathcal{F}_{P_2}(k)$ where $h, k \in \mathcal{K}(G)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (T\xi|S\eta) &= (h*\Delta^{1/Q_1}\xi|k*\Delta^{1/Q_2}\eta) \\ &= (\Delta^{1/Q_1}\xi*\Delta^{-1/Q_2}\eta|\Delta^{-1}h*k) \\ &= (\xi*\Delta^{-1/Q_1-1/Q_2}\eta|\Delta^{-1/P_1}h*\Delta^{-1/Q_1}k) \\ &= \int (\xi*J_{P_1}\eta)(x) (\Delta^{-1/P_1}h*\Delta^{-1/Q_1}k)(x) dx . \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{F}_P}([S*T]) &= \overline{\mathcal{F}_P}([\mathcal{F}_{P_2}(J_{P_2}k)\mathcal{F}_{P_1}(h)]) \\ &= \Delta^{-1/Q_1}(\mathcal{F}_{P_2}k*\Delta^{1/Q_2}h)^\vee \\ &= \Delta^{-1+1/P_1}\Delta^{-1/Q_2}\Delta^{1/P_2}\overline{k} \\ &= \Delta^{-1/P_1}\Delta^{1/Q_1}\overline{k} \end{aligned}$$

we have proved the formula. ■

Proposition 5.4. Let $p \in [1, 2]$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Let $T \in L^F(\Psi_0)$ with polar decomposition $T = U|T|$. Put $g = \Delta^{-1/q}\overline{\mathcal{F}_p}(T)^\vee$. Then

$$(|T|^\xi|\xi| |T|^\xi U*\eta) = \int (g*\Delta^{1/P}\xi)(x) \overline{\eta(x)} dx$$

for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{K}(G)$.

Proof. Put $q_1 = q_2 = 2p$. Then $|T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \in L^{q_1}(\psi_0)$ and $|T|^{\frac{1}{2}} U^* \in L^{q_2}(\psi_0)$, and by Proposition 5.3 we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (|T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi | |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} U^* \eta) \\ &= \int \overline{\mathcal{F}_p(T)(x)} (\xi * J_p \eta)(x) dx \\ &= \int \overline{\mathcal{F}_p(T)(x^{-1})} (\Delta^{1/p} \overline{\eta * \xi})(x^{-1}) \Delta^{-1}(x) dx \\ &= \int g(x) (\overline{\eta * \Delta^{-1/p} \xi})(x) dx \\ &= \int (g * \Delta^{1/p} \xi)(x) \overline{\eta(x)} dx. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.5. Let $p \in [1, 2]$ and $T \in L^{\mathbb{P}}(\cdot, \psi_0)$. Put $\mathcal{J} = \Delta^{-1/p} \overline{\mathcal{F}_p(T)}^v$. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{K}(G)$. Then $\xi \in D(T)$ if and only if $g * \Delta^{1/p} \xi \in L^2(G)$, and if this is the case, we have

$$T\xi = g * \Delta^{1/p} \xi.$$

Proof. First suppose that $\xi \in D(T)$. Then for all $\eta \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int (T\xi)(x) \overline{\eta(x)} dx &= (T\xi | \eta) \\ &= (|T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi | |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} U^* \eta) \\ &= \int (g * \Delta^{1/p} \xi)(x) \overline{\eta(x)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $g * \Delta^{1/p} \xi = T\xi$ and thus $g * \Delta^{1/p} \xi \in L^2(G)$.

Conversely, if $g * \Delta^{1/p} \xi \in L^2(G)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |(|T|^{1/2}\xi | |T|^{1/2}U^*\eta)| \\
 &= \left| \int (g*\Delta^{1/p}\xi)(x) \overline{\eta(x)} dx \right| \\
 &\leq \|g*\Delta^{1/p}\xi\|_2 \|\eta\|_2
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\eta \in \mathcal{K}(G)$. We conclude that $|T|^{1/2}\xi \in D(|T|^{1/2}U^* | \mathcal{K}(G)]^*)$.
 Now $[|T|^{1/2}U^* | \mathcal{K}(G)]^* = [|T|^{1/2}U^*]^* = U|T|^{1/2}$, so that
 $|T|^{1/2}\xi \in D(U|T|^{1/2})$, whence $\xi \in D(T)$. ■

Theorem 5.2. Let $p \in [1,2]$ and $T \in L^p(\psi_0)$. Put
 $g = \Delta^{-1/q} \overline{\mathcal{F}}_p(T)^\vee$. Suppose that $g \in L^2(G)$. Then T is the
 closure of the operator

$$\xi \mapsto g*\Delta^{1/p}\xi, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{K}(G).$$

Proof. When $g \in L^2(G)$, we have $g*\Delta^{1/p}\xi \in L^2(G)$ for all
 $\xi \in \mathcal{K}(G)$. Thus, by Proposition 5.5, $\mathcal{K}(G) \subseteq D(T)$, and
 $T\xi = g*\Delta^{1/p}\xi$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{K}(G)$. Since $T = [T | \mathcal{K}(G)]$ by Propo-
 sition 2.4, the theorem is proved. ■

As a corollary, we have

Theorem 5.3. (Fourier inversion). Let $p \in [1,2]$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$

1) Let $T \in L^p(\psi_0)$. Put $g = \Delta^{-1/q} \overline{\mathcal{F}}_p(T)^\vee$. If
 $g \in L^r(G)$ for some $r \in [1,2]$, then $\mathcal{F}_r(g)\Delta^{1/r-1/q}$
 is closable, and

$$T = [\mathcal{F}_r(g)\Delta^{1/r-1/q}]^*.$$

2) Let $f \in L^p(G)$. If for some $r \in [1, 2]$, the closure $S = [\mathcal{F}_p(f)\Delta^{1/r-1/q}]$ exists and belongs to $L^r(\psi_0)$, then

$$f = \Delta^{-1/s} \overline{\mathcal{F}_r(S)}^\vee$$

where $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$.

roof. 1) Since $g \in L^r(G) \cap L^q(G)$, we also have $g \in L^2(G)$. Then by Theorem 5.2 we have

$$T\xi = g * \Delta^{1/p_\xi} = g * \Delta^{1/s} \Delta^{-1+1/r+1/p_\xi} = \mathcal{F}_r(g)\Delta^{1/r-1/q_\xi}$$

or all $\xi \in \mathcal{K}(G)$. Thus $T|_{\mathcal{K}(G)} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_r(g)\Delta^{1/r-1/q}$. As is easily seen $\mathcal{F}_r(g)\Delta^{1/r-1/q}$ is $(-\frac{1}{p})$ -homogeneous. It is also closed, since its adjoint is densely defined (indeed,

$$(\mathcal{F}_r(g)\Delta^{1/r-1/q})^* \subseteq (T|_{\mathcal{K}(G)})^* = T^* \text{ so that}$$

$$(\mathcal{F}_r(g)\Delta^{1/r-1/q})^* = T^* . \text{ We conclude that } T = [\mathcal{F}_r(g)\Delta^{1/r-1/q}] \text{ (since } T \subseteq [\mathcal{F}_r(g)\Delta^{1/r-1/q}]) .$$

2) For all $\xi \in \mathcal{K}(G)$, we have $\xi \in D(S)$ and by Proposition 5.5,

$$f * \Delta^{1/r_\xi} = \mathcal{F}_p(f)\Delta^{1/r-1/q_\xi} = S\xi = \Delta^{-1/s} \overline{\mathcal{F}_r(S)}^\vee * \xi .$$

The result follows. ■

Putting $p = r = 1$ in the first part of Theorem 5.2 and recalling that $\overline{\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{d\psi}{d\psi_0}\right)} = \psi$ for $\psi \in A(G)_+$, we obtain

Corollary. Let $\psi \in A(G)_+$. If $\psi \in L^1(G)$, then

$$\frac{d\psi}{d\psi_0} = [\psi(\delta)\Delta] .$$

Finally we shall give some results on positive operators $T \in L^P(\psi_0)$ valid without any restriction on $\mathcal{F}_p(T)$.

Note that for all $f \in L^q(G)$ and $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int f(x) (\xi * J_p \eta)(x) dx \\ &= \iint f(x) \xi(y) \Delta^{-1/p}(y^{-1}x) \tilde{\eta}(y^{-1}x) dy dx \\ &= \iint f(yx) \xi(y) \Delta^{-1/p}(x) \tilde{\eta}(x) dx dy \\ &= \iint f(yx^{-1}) \xi(y) \Delta^{1/q}(x) \overline{\eta(x)} dx dy . \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.6. Let $p \in [1, 2]$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Let $T \in L^P(\psi_0)_+$. Put $f = \overline{\mathcal{F}_p(T)}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} q(\xi) &= \int f(x) (\xi * J_p \xi)(x) dx \\ &= \iint f(yx^{-1}) \Delta^{1/q}(x) \xi(y) \overline{\xi(x)} dy dx \end{aligned}$$

for all $\xi \in \mathcal{K}(G)$. Then q is a closable positive quadratic form, and the positive self-adjoint operator associated with its closure is T .

Proof. By (the proof of) Proposition 5.4, we have

$$(T^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi | T^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi) = \int f(x) (\xi * J_p \xi)(x) dx = q(\xi)$$

for all $\xi \in \mathcal{K}(G)$, and $T^{\frac{1}{2}} = [T^{\frac{1}{2}} | \mathcal{K}(G)]$. Thus q is a closable positive quadratic form with closure corresponding to T . ■

Corollary. Let $\varphi \in A(G)_+$. Then $\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi_0}$ is the positive self-adjoint operator associated with the closure of the positive quadratic form

given by

$$\begin{aligned} q(\xi) &= \int \varphi(x) (\xi * \xi^*)(x) dx \\ &= \iint \varphi(yx^{-1}) \xi(y) \overline{\xi(x)} dy dx \end{aligned}$$

for all $\xi \in \mathcal{K}(G)$.

Remark. This result also follows directly from the definition of

$\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi_0}$. Indeed,

$$\left\| \left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi \right\|^2 = \varphi(\lambda(\xi) \lambda(\xi)^*) = \int \varphi(x) (\xi * \xi^*)(x) dx$$

for all $\xi \in \mathcal{K}(G)$, and we have $\left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left[\left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big|_{\mathcal{K}(G)} \right]$ by Proposition 2.4 (or, alternatively, by an application of [9, Theorem] together with the fact that $\left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left[\left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big|_{\alpha_i} \right]$).

Actually, the property of defining closable quadratic forms on $\mathcal{K}(G)$ characterizes $A(G)_+$ -functions among all positive definite continuous functions. The precise statement is as follows:

Theorem 5.4. Let φ be a positive definite continuous function. Define q on $\mathcal{K}(G)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} q(\xi) &= \int \varphi(x) (\xi * \xi^*)(x) dx \\ &= \iint \varphi(yx^{-1}) \xi(y) \overline{\xi(x)} dy dx, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{K}(G). \end{aligned}$$

Then q is a positive quadratic form on $\mathcal{K}(G)$, and q is closable if and only if $\varphi \in A(G)$.

Proof. That q is a quadratic form is obvious, and since φ is positive definite, q is positive.

Now suppose that q is closable. Denote by T the positive self-adjoint operator associated with its closure; then T is characterized by the properties $\mathcal{K}(G) \subseteq D(T^{\frac{1}{2}})$,

$$T^{\frac{1}{2}} = [T^{\frac{1}{2}} | \mathcal{K}(G)] , \text{ and}$$

$$\forall \xi \in \mathcal{K}(G) : \|T^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi\|^2 = q(\xi) .$$

Let us show that T is (-1)-homogeneous. Let $x \in G$. Then

$T_x = \Delta^{-1}(x) \rho(x) T \rho(x^{-1})$ is positive self-adjoint and

$T_x^{\frac{1}{2}} = \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x) \rho(x) T^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho(x^{-1})$. Therefore $\mathcal{K}(G) \subseteq D(T_x^{\frac{1}{2}})$ and

$T_x^{\frac{1}{2}} = [T_x^{\frac{1}{2}} | \mathcal{K}(G)]$. Furthermore, for all $\xi \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_x^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi\|^2 &= \|\Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x) \rho(x) T^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho(x^{-1}) \xi\|^2 \\ &= \Delta^{-1}(x) \|T^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho(x^{-1}) \xi\|^2 \\ &= \Delta^{-1}(x) q(\rho(x^{-1}) \xi) \\ &= \Delta^{-1}(x) \iint \varphi(yz^{-1}) (\rho(x^{-1}) \xi)(y) \overline{(\rho(x^{-1}) \xi)(z)} dy dz \\ &= \iint \Delta^{-1}(x) \varphi(yz^{-1}) \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}(x^{-1}) \xi(yx^{-1}) \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}(x^{-1}) \overline{\xi(zx^{-1})} dy dz \\ &= \Delta^{-1}(x) \iint \varphi(yxz^{-1}) \xi(y) \overline{\xi(zx^{-1})} dy dz \\ &= \iint \varphi(yz^{-1}) \xi(y) \overline{\xi(z)} dz dy \\ &= q(\xi) . \end{aligned}$$

We conclude from the characterization of T that $T_x = T$, so that

$$\forall x \in G: \Delta^{-1}(x) \rho(x) T \rho(x^{-1}) = T,$$

i.e. T is (-1) -homogeneous.

Now let $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$ be an approximate identity in $\mathcal{K}(G)_+$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi_i\|^2 &= q(\xi_i) \\ &= \int \varphi(x) (\xi_i * \xi_i^*)(x) dx \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ |\varphi(x)| \mid x \in \text{supp}(\xi_i * \xi_i^*) \right\} \cdot \|\xi_i * \xi_i^*\|_1 \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ |\varphi(x)| \mid x \in \text{supp}(\xi_i * \xi_i^*) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since φ is continuous and the supports of the $\xi_i * \xi_i^*$ tend to e , we get

$$\liminf_{i \in I} \|T^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi_i\|^2 \leq \varphi(e).$$

By Proposition 2.1, this shows that $T \in L^1(\psi_0)$.

Put $\varphi_1 = \overline{\mathcal{F}}(T) \in A(G)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \xi \in \mathcal{K}(G): \int \varphi_1(x) (\xi * \xi^*)(x) dx &= \|T^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi\|^2 = q(\xi) \\ &= \int \varphi(x) (\xi * \xi^*)(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that $\varphi = \varphi_1$ and thus $\varphi \in A(G)$. ■

Acknowledgement.

The author is indebted to Uffe Haagerup for many helpful discussions on the subject of this paper.

References.

1. F. Combes: Poids associé à une algèbre hilbertienne à gauche. *Compositio Math.* 23 (1971), 49-77.
2. A. Connes: On the spatial theory of von Neumann algebras. *J. Functional Analysis* 35 (1980), 153-164.
3. A. van Daele: A new approach to the Tomita-Takesaki theory of generalized Hilbert algebras. *J. Functional Analysis* 15 (1974), 378-393.
4. J. Dixmier: *Les C*-algèbres et leurs représentations.* Gauthier-Villars, Paris 1964.
5. J. Dixmier: Formes linéaires sur un anneau d'opérateurs. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 81 (1953), 9-39.
6. P. Eymard: L'algèbre de Fourier d'un groupe localement compact. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 92 (1964), 181-236.
7. P. Eymard & M. Terp: La transformation de Fourier et son inverse sur le groupe des $ax+b$ d'un corps local. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 739 (Analyse Harmonique sur les Groupes de Lie II, Séminaire Nancy-Strasbourg 1976-78, Éd.: P. Eymard et al.), 207-248. Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1979.
8. U. Haagerup: The standard form of von Neumann algebras. *Math. Scand.* 37 (1975), 271-283.
9. U. Haagerup: A density theorem for left Hilbert algebras. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 725 (Algèbres d'Opérateurs, Séminaire, Les Plans-sur-Bex, Suisse 1978, Éd.: P. de la Harpe), 170-179. Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1979.
10. U. Haagerup: L^p -spaces associated with an arbitrary von Neumann algebra. *Algèbres d'opérateurs et leurs applications en physique mathématique (Colloques internationaux du CNRS, No. 274, Marseille 20-24 juin 1977)*, 175-184. Éditions du CNRS, Paris 1979.
11. E. Hewitt & K. A. Ross: *Abstract harmonic analysis I.* Springer, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg 1963.

- M. Hilsaum: Les espaces L^p d'une algèbre de von Neumann (théorie spatiale). Preprint, Publications mathématiques de l'université Pierre et Marie Curie, No. 15, juin 1979.
- A. Klein & B. Russo: Sharp inequalities for Weyl operators and Heisenberg groups. *Math. Ann.* 235 (1978), 175-194.
- R. A. Kunze: L_p Fourier transforms on locally compact unimodular groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 89 (1958), 519-540.
- E. Nelson: Notes on non-commutative integration. *J. Functional Analysis* 15 (1974), 103-116.
- G. K. Pedersen: C^* -algebras and their automorphism groups. Academic Press, London-New York-San Francisco 1979.
- G. K. Pedersen & M. Takesaki: The Radon-Nikodym theorem for von Neumann algebras. *Acta Math.* 130 (1973), 53-87.
- J. Phillips: Positive integrable elements relative to a left Hilbert algebra. *J. Functional Analysis* 13 (1973), 390-409.
- W. Rudin: *Functional analysis*. Mc Graw-Hill 1973.
- B. Russo: On the Hausdorff-Young theorem for integral operators. *Pacific J. Math.* 68 (1977), 241-253.
- I. E. Segal: A non-commutative extension of abstract integration. *Ann. of Math.* 57 (1953), 401-457.
- S. Strătilă & L. Zsidó: *Lectures on von Neumann algebras*. Abacus Press, Kent 1979.
- A. Weil: *L'intégration dans les groupes topologiques et ses applications*. Hermann, Paris 1940.
- A. Zygmund: *Trigonometric series II*. Cambridge University Press 1959.