



SEVERAL REMARKS ON THE COMBINATORIAL HODGE STAR

MASAHARU TANABE

ABSTRACT. In 2007, Scott O. Wilson defined the combinatorial Hodge star operator \star on cochains of a triangulated manifold. This operator depends on the choice of a cochain inner product, but he showed that for a certain inner product it converges to the smooth Hodge star operator as the mesh of the triangulation tends to zero. He also stated that $\star^2 \neq \pm \text{Id}$ in general and raised a question if \star^2 approaches $\pm \text{Id}$. In this paper, we solve this problem affirmatively. We also give a remark about the definition of holomorphic 1-cochains given by Wilson using \star .

1. INTRODUCTION

For cochains equipped with an inner product, Scott O. Wilson defined the combinatorial Hodge star operator \star in [7]. The definition is formally analogous to that of the smooth Hodge star operator on differential forms of a Riemannian manifold. By using a certain cochain inner product which he named the Whitney inner product, he showed that the combinatorial Hodge star operator, defined on the simplicial cochains of a triangulated Riemannian manifold, converges to the smooth Hodge star operator as the mesh of the triangulation tends to zero. A precise statement for this is Theorem 2.12 and in more detailed form Theorem 2.13. These theorems are given by using a map from cochains into differential forms, defined by Hassler Whitney [6]. Jozef Dodziuk [2] and Dodziuk and V. K. Patodi [3] stated the approximation properties of this map. These are Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.7.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57R12; Secondary 58A10, 58A14.

Key words and phrases. cochains, Hodge star.

©2014 Topology Proceedings.

Definition 2.5. Let K be a triangulation of a Riemannian manifold M . The mesh $\eta = \eta(K)$ of a triangulation is

$$\eta = \sup r(p, q),$$

where r means the geodesic distance in M and the supremum is taken over all the pair of vertices p, q of a 1-simplex in K .

Theorem 2.6. Let M be a Riemannian manifold with triangulation K of mesh η . There exist a positive constant C and a positive integer m , independent of K , such that

$$\|\omega - WR\omega\| \leq C \cdot \|(\text{Id} + \Delta)^m \omega\| \cdot \eta$$

for all C^∞ differential forms ω on M .

For the comparison of the Hodge decomposition of a smooth form ω and the combinatorial Hodge decomposition of $R\omega$, we have the following.

Theorem 2.7. Let $\omega \in \Lambda^j(M)$ and $R\omega \in C^j(K)$ have Hodge decompositions

$$\omega = d\omega_1 + \omega_2 + d^*\omega_3,$$

$$R\omega = \delta a_1 + a_2 + \delta^* a_3.$$

Then

$$\|d\omega_1 - W\delta a_1\| \leq C \cdot \|(\text{Id} + \Delta)^m \omega\| \cdot \eta,$$

$$\|\omega_2 - W a_2\| \leq C \cdot \|(\text{Id} + \Delta)^m \omega\| \cdot \eta,$$

$$\|d^*\omega_3 - W\delta^* a_3\| \leq C \cdot \|(\text{Id} + \Delta)^m \omega\| \cdot \eta,$$

where C and m are independent of ω and K .

For details, see [2], [3], and [7].

Whitney [6] also defined a product operation on $C(K)$.

Definition 2.8. We define $\cup : C^j(K) \otimes C^k(K) \rightarrow C^{j+k}(K)$ by

$$\sigma \cup \tau = R(W\sigma \wedge W\tau).$$

We see easily that $\delta(\sigma \cup \tau) = \delta\sigma \cup \tau + (-1)^j \sigma \cup \delta\tau$ and $\sigma \cup \tau = (-1)^{jk} \tau \cup \sigma$.

Wilson defined the combinatorial Hodge star operator in [7] and showed that, for the Whitney inner product, this operator converges to the smooth Hodge star operator as the mesh of the triangulation tends to zero. For the rest of this section, all of the statements are attributed to Wilson ([7], [8]).

Definition 2.9. Let K be a triangulation of a closed oriented manifold M of dimension n with simplicial cochains $C = \bigoplus_j C^j$. Let \langle, \rangle be an

inner product on C such that C^i is orthogonal to C^j for $i \neq j$. For $\sigma \in C^j$ we define $\star\sigma \in C^{n-j}$ by

$$\langle \star\sigma, \tau \rangle = (\sigma \cup \tau)[M],$$

where $[M]$ denotes the fundamental class of M . We call \star the combinatorial Hodge star operator.

Several properties of the combinatorial star operator are given below.

Lemma 2.10. *The following hold:*

- (1) $\star\delta = (-1)^{j+1}\delta^*\star$, i.e., \star is a chain map.
- (2) For $\sigma \in C^j$ and $\tau \in C^{n-j}$, $\langle \star\sigma, \tau \rangle = (-1)^j\langle \sigma, \star\tau \rangle$, i.e., \star is (graded) skew-adjoint.
- (3) \star induces isomorphisms $\mathcal{H}C^j(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}C^{n-j}(K)$ on harmonic cochains.

From now on, we work under the assumption that the inner product on C is the Whitney inner product unless otherwise mentioned. Let π denote the orthogonal projection of $L^2\Lambda^j$ onto the image of $C^j(K)$ under the Whitney map W .

Lemma 2.11. $W\star = \pi \star W$.

This lemma is the key in showing the following theorem which states that \star converges to \star as the mesh η tends to 0.

Theorem 2.12. *Let M be a Riemannian manifold with triangulation K of mesh η . There exist a positive constant C and a positive integer m , independent of K , such that*

$$\| \star \omega - W\star R\omega \| \leq C \cdot \|(\text{Id} + \Delta)^m \omega\| \cdot \eta$$

for all C^∞ differential forms ω on M .

This approximation respects the Hodge decompositions of $\Lambda(M)$ and $C(K)$.

Theorem 2.13. *Let M be a Riemannian manifold with triangulation K of mesh η . Let $\omega \in \Lambda^j(M)$ and $R\omega \in C^j(K)$ have Hodge decompositions*

$$\omega = d\omega_1 + \omega_2 + d^*\omega_3,$$

$$R\omega = \delta a_1 + a_2 + \delta^* a_3.$$

There exist a positive constant C and a positive integer m , independent of ω and K , such that

$$\| \star d\omega_1 - W\star\delta a_1 \| \leq C \cdot (\|(\text{Id} + \Delta)^m \omega\| + \|(\text{Id} + \Delta)^m d\omega_1\|) \cdot \eta,$$

$$\| \star \omega_2 - W\star a_2 \| \leq C \cdot (\|(\text{Id} + \Delta)^m \omega\| + \|(\text{Id} + \Delta)^m \omega_2\|) \cdot \eta,$$

$$\| \star d^*\omega_3 - W\star\delta^* a_3 \| \leq C \cdot (\|(\text{Id} + \Delta)^m \omega\| + \|(\text{Id} + \Delta)^m d^*\omega_3\|) \cdot \eta.$$

We remark that we can take a common integer m in Theorem 2.6, Theorem 2.7, Theorem 2.12, and Theorem 2.13.

Wilson defined holomorphic 1-cochains on Riemann surfaces by using the combinatorial Hodge star. Holomorphic 1-cochains have several properties analogous to holomorphic 1-forms. For details, see [8].

3. APPROXIMATION THEOREMS

Recall that the smooth Hodge star \star on j -forms satisfies

$$\star^2 = (-1)^{j(n-j)} \text{Id},$$

where n is the dimension of the manifold. Thus, by the next theorem, we see that \star^2 converges to $\pm \text{Id}$ as the mesh η of the triangulation tends to 0.

Theorem 3.1. *Let M be a Riemannian manifold with triangulation K of mesh η . There exist a positive constant C and a positive integer m , independent of K , such that*

$$\|\star^2 \omega - W\star^2 R\omega\| \leq C \cdot \|(\text{Id} + \Delta)^m \omega\| \cdot \eta$$

for all C^∞ differential forms ω on M .

Proof. Using the triangle inequality and Lemma 2.11, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\star^2 \omega - W\star^2 R\omega\| \\ \leq & \|\star^2 \omega - \star^2 W R\omega\| + \|\star^2 W R\omega - W\star^2 R\omega\| \\ \leq & \|\omega - W R\omega\| + \|\star^2 W R\omega - \star W\star R\omega\| + \|\star W\star R\omega - W\star^2 R\omega\| \\ = & \|\omega - W R\omega\| + \|\star W R\omega - W\star R\omega\| + \|\star W\star R\omega - \pi \star W\star R\omega\|. \end{aligned}$$

The first term is bounded by

$$\|\omega - W R\omega\| \leq C \cdot \|(\text{Id} + \Delta)^m \omega\| \cdot \eta,$$

using the estimate in Theorem 2.6. For the second term, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\star W R\omega - W\star R\omega\| & \leq \|\star W R\omega - \star \omega\| + \|\star \omega - W\star R\omega\| \\ & = \|W R\omega - \omega\| + \|\star \omega - W\star R\omega\|, \end{aligned}$$

and these are bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \|W R\omega - \omega\| + \|\star \omega - W\star R\omega\| \\ \leq & C \cdot \|(\text{Id} + \Delta)^m \omega\| \cdot \eta + C \cdot \|(\text{Id} + \Delta)^m \omega\| \cdot \eta, \end{aligned}$$

using the estimate in Theorem 2.6 and the estimate in Theorem 2.12. For the last term, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \| \star W \star R\omega - \pi \star W \star R\omega \| \\
& \leq \| \star W \star R\omega - WR \star^2 \omega \| \\
& \leq \| \star W \star R\omega - \star^2 \omega \| + \| \star^2 \omega - WR \star^2 \omega \| \\
& = \| W \star R\omega - \star \omega \| + \| \omega - WR\omega \| \\
& \leq C \cdot \| (\text{Id} + \Delta)^m \omega \| \cdot \eta + C \cdot \| (\text{Id} + \Delta)^m \omega \| \cdot \eta. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

The approximation also respects the Hodge decompositions of $\Lambda(M)$ and $C(K)$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let M be a Riemannian manifold with triangulation K of mesh η . Let $\omega \in \Lambda^j(M)$ and $R\omega \in C^j(K)$ have Hodge decompositions*

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega &= d\omega_1 + \omega_2 + d^* \omega_3, \\
R\omega &= \delta a_1 + a_2 + \delta^* a_3.
\end{aligned}$$

There exist a positive constant C and a positive integer m , independent of ω and K , such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\| \star^2 d\omega_1 - W \star^2 \delta a_1 \| &\leq C \cdot (\| (\text{Id} + \Delta)^m \omega \| + \| (\text{Id} + \Delta)^m d\omega_1 \|) \cdot \eta, \\
\| \star^2 \omega_2 - W \star^2 a_2 \| &\leq C \cdot (\| (\text{Id} + \Delta)^m \omega \| + \| (\text{Id} + \Delta)^m \omega_2 \|) \cdot \eta, \\
\| \star^2 d^* \omega_3 - W \star^2 \delta^* a_3 \| &\leq C \cdot (\| (\text{Id} + \Delta)^m \omega \| + \| (\text{Id} + \Delta)^m d^* \omega_3 \|) \cdot \eta.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. For the first statement, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \| \star^2 d\omega_1 - W \star^2 \delta a_1 \| \\
& \leq \| \star^2 d\omega_1 - \star^2 W \delta a_1 \| + \| \star^2 W \delta a_1 - W \star^2 \delta a_1 \| \\
& \leq \| d\omega_1 - W \delta a_1 \| + \| \star^2 W \delta a_1 - \star W \star \delta a_1 \| \\
& \quad + \| \star W \star \delta a_1 - W \star^2 \delta a_1 \| \\
& = \| d\omega_1 - W \delta a_1 \| + \| \star W \delta a_1 - W \star \delta a_1 \| \\
& \quad + \| \star W \star \delta a_1 - \pi \star W \star \delta a_1 \|.
\end{aligned}$$

The first term is bounded by using the first estimate in Theorem 2.7. For the second term, we have

$$\| \star W \delta a_1 - W \star \delta a_1 \| \leq \| \star W \delta a_1 - \star d\omega_1 \| + \| \star d\omega_1 - W \star \delta a_1 \|,$$

and these are bounded by using the first estimate in Theorem 2.7 and the first estimate in Theorem 2.13. For the last term, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\star W\star\delta a_1 - \pi\star W\star\delta a_1\| &\leq \|\star W\star\delta a_1 - WR\star^2 d\omega_1\| \\ &\leq \|\star W\star\delta a_1 - \star^2 d\omega_1\| + \|\star^2 d\omega_1 - WR\star^2 d\omega_1\| \\ &= \|W\star\delta a_1 - \star d\omega_1\| + \|d\omega_1 - WR d\omega_1\|. \end{aligned}$$

These two terms are bounded by using the first estimate in Theorem 2.13 and the estimate in Theorem 2.6.

The same computations as above lead us to the last two inequalities by using the latter two inequalities in Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 2.13 and Theorem 2.6 applied to ω_2 and $d^*\omega_3$, respectively. \square

Lieven Smits [5] showed that, on surfaces, $\|W\delta^*R\omega - d^*\omega\|$ converges to 0 for all C^∞ differential 1-form ω under a certain restriction on the triangulations. Recently, Smits's result was extended to arbitrary dimensions, showing that the above convergence is valid for arbitrary dimension under a certain mesh condition and showing that this mesh condition is necessary [1].

Wilson [7] observed that $\|W\delta R\omega - d\omega\|$ converges to 0, and he also observed that, in short,

$$\pm\delta^*\star = \star\delta \rightarrow \pm d^*\star = \star d.$$

He also raised a question if either of $\delta\star$ or $\star\delta^*$ provide a good approximation to $d\star$ or $\star d^*$, respectively. This question seems to be still open.

4. ON THE DEFINITION OF HOLOMORPHIC COCHAINS

In this section, we fix M to be a topological surface. Wilson [8] defined holomorphic cochains for surfaces using the combinatorial star operator. Then he introduced combinatorial period matrices which are the period matrices of holomorphic cochains and gave some (Riemann) bi-linear relations that the periods satisfy, and he proved that for a triangulated Riemannian 2-manifold (or a Riemann surface) and a particularly nice choice of inner product, the combinatorial period matrix converges to the (conformal) Riemann period matrix as the mesh of the triangulation tends to zero.

To define holomorphic 1-cochains, we need to extend some of our definitions to the case of complex valued cochains. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be any hermitian inner product on the complex valued simplicial 1-cochains of a triangulation K for a topological surface M . We define the associated combinatorial star operator \star by

$$\langle \star\sigma, \tau \rangle = (\sigma \cup \bar{\tau})[M],$$

where the bar denotes complex conjugation and \cup is as in §2, extended over \mathbb{C} linearly. Just as with real coefficients, the Hodge decomposition with complex coefficients holds

$$C^1(K) = \delta C^0(K) \oplus H^1(K) \oplus \delta^* C^2(K),$$

where H^1 is the space of complex valued harmonic 1-cochains.

By Lemma 2.10, \star induces an isomorphism of H^1 and is skew-adjoint. Since \star induces an isomorphism of H^1 , this map admits a unique polar decomposition $\star = HU$ where H is positive definite hermitian and U is unitary. Since \star is skew-adjoint, so is U , and therefore the eigenvalues of U are $\pm i$.

Wilson defined holomorphic 1-cochains as follows.

Definition 4.1 ([8, Definition 6.1]). Let K and \langle, \rangle be as above. Let \star denote the map on complex valued harmonic cochains, as in Lemma 2.10, with polar decomposition $\star = HU$. The subspace of holomorphic 1-cochains $\mathcal{H}^{1,0}(K)$ is defined to be

$$\mathcal{H}^{1,0}(K) = \{\omega \in H^1(K) \mid U\omega = -i\omega\}.$$

The subspace of anti-holomorphic 1-cochains $\mathcal{H}^{0,1}(K)$ is defined to be

$$\mathcal{H}^{0,1}(K) = \{\omega \in H^1(K) \mid U\omega = i\omega\}.$$

Subsequently, he remarked the following.

Remark 4.2 ([8, Remark 6.2]). An equivalent definition is to let $\mathcal{H}^{1,0}(K)$ be the span of the eigenvectors for non-positive imaginary eigenvalues of \star and let $\mathcal{H}^{0,1}(K)$ be the span of the eigenvectors for non-negative imaginary eigenvalues of \star .

Then he stated the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3 ([8, Lemma 6.3]). *Let K be a triangulation of a surface M of genus g . A hermitian inner product on the simplicial 1-cochains of K gives an orthogonal direct sum decomposition*

$$H^1(K) = \mathcal{H}^{1,0}(K) \oplus \mathcal{H}^{0,1}(K).$$

Each summand on the right has complex dimension g and complex conjugation maps $\mathcal{H}^{1,0}(K)$ to $\mathcal{H}^{0,1}(K)$ and vice versa.

As Wilson indicated, the decomposition follows from the property of skew-adjoint operators; that is, eigenspaces of distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal. However, complex conjugation does not map $\mathcal{H}^{1,0}(K)$ to $\mathcal{H}^{0,1}(K)$ in general.

For example, let K be a triangulation of a torus M and let a and b be \mathbb{R} -valued harmonic 1-cochains which satisfy

$$(a \cup b)[M] = 1.$$

Then a and b form a basis for $H^1(K)$. We put

$$\sigma_1 = \sqrt{2}a + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}b, \quad \sigma_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}b.$$

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be a hermitian inner product for which σ_1, σ_2 is an orthonormal basis of $H^1(K)$. Then the matrix representation of \star with respect to the basis σ_1, σ_2 is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2i & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

the eigenvalues are $(1 + \sqrt{2})i$ and $(1 - \sqrt{2})i$, and the corresponding eigenvectors are constant multiples of $\begin{pmatrix} (1 + \sqrt{2})i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} (1 - \sqrt{2})i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, respectively. Remark 4.2 defines

$$\mathcal{H}^{1,0}(K) = \left\{ c \begin{pmatrix} (1 - \sqrt{2})i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}; c \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}^{0,1}(K) = \left\{ c \begin{pmatrix} (1 + \sqrt{2})i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}; c \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

We see that complex conjugation does *not* map $\mathcal{H}^{1,0}(K)$ to $\mathcal{H}^{0,1}(K)$.

The main result of [8] is that the combinatorial period matrix converges to the (conformal) Riemann period matrix as the mesh of the triangulation tends to zero. To show this, Wilson used Riemann's bi-linear relations below (see [8, Theorem 6.5]). Let $\{a_1, \dots, a_g, b_1, \dots, b_g\}$ be a canonical homology basis for M . (Here "canonical" means that the intersection of any two basis elements is non-zero only for a_j and b_j , in which case it equals one.)

Definition 4.4. For $h \in \mathcal{H}^{1,0}(K)$, the A-periods and B-periods of h are the following complex numbers:

$$A_j = h(a_j) \quad \text{and} \quad B_j = h(b_j) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq g.$$

Theorem 4.5 (Riemann's bi-linear relations). *If $\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathcal{H}^{1,0}(K)$ have A-periods A_j, A'_j and B-periods B_j, B'_j , respectively, then*

$$\sum_{j=1}^g (A_j B'_j - B_j A'_j) = 0.$$

In the proof of Riemann's bi-linear relations, Wilson used the statement that complex conjugation maps $\mathcal{H}^{1,0}(K)$ to $\mathcal{H}^{0,1}(K)$. Thus, to hold Wilson's results, the operator \star must be \mathbb{R} -valued on \mathbb{R} -cochains. Then, if τ is a \mathbb{C} -cochain, it is straightforward to check that $\star\tau = i\lambda\tau$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$) implies $\star\bar{\tau} = -i\lambda\bar{\tau}$, which means that complex conjugation maps $\mathcal{H}^{1,0}(K)$ to $\mathcal{H}^{0,1}(K)$.

By the definition of the combinatorial star operator \star

$$\langle \star\sigma, \tau \rangle = (\sigma \cup \bar{\tau})[M];$$

\star is \mathbb{R} -valued on \mathbb{R} -cochains if and only if the hermitian inner product is \mathbb{R} -valued on \mathbb{R} -cochains. Thus, we need one additional assumption, that a hermitian inner product on the cochains to be \mathbb{R} -valued on \mathbb{R} -cochains. This assumption is natural and, of course, the Whitney inner product satisfies it.

Consequently, we offer the following definition.

Definition 4.6. Let \langle , \rangle be a hermitian inner product on the complex valued simplicial 1-cochains which is \mathbb{R} -valued on \mathbb{R} -cochains. We define $\mathcal{H}^{1,0}(K)$ to be the span of the eigenvectors for non-positive imaginary eigenvalues of \star and $\mathcal{H}^{0,1}(K)$ to be the span of the eigenvectors for non-negative imaginary eigenvalues of \star .

Then complex conjugation maps $\mathcal{H}^{1,0}(K)$ to $\mathcal{H}^{0,1}(K)$, and all of the statements of Wilson's paper [8] hold.

REFERENCES

- [1] Douglas Arnold, Richard Falk, Johnny Guzmán, and Gantumur Tsogtgerel, *On the consistency of the combinatorial codifferential*. To appear in Transactions of the American Mathematical Society. Available at arXiv:1212.4472v2 [math.DG].
- [2] Jozef Dodziuk, *Finite-difference approach to the Hodge theory of harmonic forms*, Amer. J. Math. **98** (1976), no. 1, 79–104.
- [3] J. Dodziuk and V. K. Patodi, *Riemannian structures and triangulations of manifolds*, J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.) **40** (1976), no. 1-4, 1–52 (1977).
- [4] Beno Eckmann, *Harmonische funktionen und randwertaufgaben in einem komplex*, Comment. Math. Helv. **17**, (1945), 240–255.
- [5] Lieven Smits, *Combinatorial approximation to the divergence of one-forms on surfaces*, Israel J. Math. **75** (1991), no. 2-3, 257–271.
- [6] Hassler Whitney, *Geometric Integration Theory*. Princeton, N. J.: Princeton University Press, 1957.
- [7] Scott O. Wilson, *Cochain algebra on manifolds and convergence under refinement*, Topology Appl. **154** (2007), no. 9, 1898–1920.
- [8] ———, *Conformal cochains*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360** (2008), no. 10, 5247–5264.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS; TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY; OHOKAYAMA, MEGURO, TOKYO, 152-8551, JAPAN

E-mail address: tanabe.m.ac@m.titech.ac.jp