

# Sewing Riemann surfaces together

Graeme Segal

All Souls College, Oxford

I shall consider compact smooth surfaces  $\Sigma$  with boundary, with a smooth almost complex structure (smooth on to the boundary.) Ignoring the complex structure for the moment, such a surface can always be embedded as a codimension 0 submanifold of a non-compact surface  $\Sigma^+$  without boundary, so that  $\partial\Sigma$  is a smoothly embedded curve in  $\Sigma^+$ . To see this, choose a smooth Riemannian metric on  $\Sigma$ , identify each boundary circle with the standard  $S^1$  by parametrizing it proportionally to arc-length, and then identify a neighbourhood of each boundary circle with a half-open annulus  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : 1 - \epsilon < |z| \leq 1\}$  by using the geodesics perpendicular to the boundary. Of course,  $\Sigma^+$  is not functorially associated to  $\Sigma$ , but we can extend the almost complex structure smoothly to  $\Sigma^+$ .

**Proposition 0.1** *The almost-complex structure on  $\Sigma^+$  is integrable, i.e.  $\Sigma^+$  possesses a smooth holomorphic atlas.*

I shall omit the proof of this.

**Proposition 0.2** *An open Riemann surface which is topologically an open annulus is isomorphic to a standard annulus  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : r < |z| < R\}$  for some  $0 \leq r < R \leq \infty$ .*

This is proved by applying the Riemann mapping theorem to the simply connected covering of the annulus. By applying it, in turn, to a neighbourhood of a boundary circle  $C$  of  $\Sigma$  we obtain the following convenient fact.

**Proposition 0.3** *A neighbourhood of a boundary circle  $C$  in  $\Sigma^+$  can be identified with a neighbourhood of a simple closed curve  $S$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ .*

In fact the following argument shows that we can take  $S$  to be the standard  $S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$  (though with a non-standard smooth parametrization).

**Proposition 0.4** *If  $\varphi$  is a holomorphic isomorphism from the interior  $U$  of a smooth simple closed curve  $S$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  to the standard open disc, then we can extend  $\varphi$  to a smooth isomorphism of the closures.*

To see this, assume, without loss of generality, that  $0 \in U$ . Then choose a harmonic function  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  whose boundary value on  $S$  is  $z \mapsto \log |z|$ . Then solve the Cauchy-Riemann equations to find  $g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  so that  $h = f + ig$  is holomorphic in  $U$ . Then  $z \mapsto \varphi(z) = ze^{-h}$  is the desired map from  $U$  to the standard disc. We need to show that the derivative of  $\varphi$  does not vanish on the boundary circle. By continuity the derivative is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear, and it is easy to see that it cannot vanish to finite order, but to show that it cannot vanish to infinite order at a boundary point  $z$  of  $U$  I have had to resort to the following lemma, which should be applied to  $\psi = \chi \circ \varphi \circ \rho$ , where  $\rho$  maps the standard unit disc into  $U$  taking 1 to  $z$ , and  $\chi$  maps the standard disc to the upper half-plane taking  $\varphi(z)$  to 0.

**Lemma 0.5** *Let  $\psi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a smooth map, where  $D$  is the closed disc  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ . Suppose that  $\psi$  maps the interior of  $D$  into the upper half-plane, and that  $\psi(1) = 0$ . Then  $\psi'(1) \neq 0$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\Im\psi(e^{i\theta}) = a(\theta)$ . We can write

$$a(\theta) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k e^{ik\theta}.$$

Then

$$\psi(z) = \Re\psi(0) + i\{a_0 + 2 \sum_{k>0} a_k z^k\}.$$

For  $0 < r < 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'(r) &= 2i \sum_{k>0} k a_k r^{k-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{r\pi} \int a(\theta) g_r(\theta) d\theta, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
g_r(\theta) &= \sum_{k>0} k r^k e^{-ik\theta} \\
&= \frac{r e^{-i\theta}}{(1 - r e^{-i\theta})^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now  $g_r(\theta) \rightarrow -\frac{1}{4} \csc^2 \frac{\theta}{2}$  as  $r \uparrow 1$ . Because  $a(\theta) = \mathcal{O}(\theta^2)$  near  $\theta = 0$  we can take the limit under the integral, and find

$$\psi'(1) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int a(\theta) \csc^2 \frac{\theta}{2} d\theta.$$

As  $a(\theta) \geq 0$ , this shows that  $\psi'(1) \neq 0$ .

After these preliminaries we can turn to the question of sewing surfaces together. Suppose we have Riemann surfaces  $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2$ , and an orientation-reversing diffeomorphism  $\varphi : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ , where  $C_i$  is a boundary circle of  $\Sigma_i$ . We form the topological space  $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \cup_{\varphi} \Sigma_2$ , and define a subsheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}$  of the sheaf of continuous complex-valued functions on  $\Sigma$  by  $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}(U)$  if each  $f|_{\Sigma_i}$  is smooth, and  $f$  is holomorphic in the interior of each  $\Sigma_i$ . I shall call sections of  $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}$  *pseudoholomorphic* functions.

**Proposition 0.6** *The ringed space  $(\Sigma, \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma})$  is a Riemann surface in which  $C = \partial\Sigma_1 = \partial\Sigma_2$  is a smooth submanifold.*

**Proof.** As the question is purely local, we can assume, in the light of the preceding discussion, that  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  are the interior discs bounded by the unit circle  $S^1 \in \mathbb{C}$ , and that  $\varphi$  is an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism of  $S^1$ .

Let  $V = C^{\infty}(S^1; \mathbb{C})$ , let  $U$  be the closed subspace of  $V$  spanned by  $z^k$  for  $k \geq 1$ , and let  $W$  be the closed subspace spanned by  $z^k$  for  $k \leq 1$ . The subspace  $U$  belongs to the smooth restricted Grassmannian of  $V$  in the sense of [PS], and so does  $\varphi^*(U)$ .

**Lemma 0.7** *The intersection  $\varphi^*(U) \cap W$  is 1-dimensional.*

Granting the lemma, we have a pseudoholomorphic map  $f : \Sigma \rightarrow S^2$ , unique up to multiplication by a scalar on  $S^2$ . As  $f$  is holomorphic on each hemisphere  $\Sigma_i$ , the piecewise-smooth 2-form  $f^*\omega$ , where  $\omega$  is the standard

round area-form on  $S^2$ , is everywhere non-negative, and its integral is  $4\pi d$ , where  $d$  is the degree of  $f$ . Thus  $d \geq 1$ , and  $f$  is surjective. In fact  $d = 1$ , as  $f^{-1}(\infty) = \infty \in \Sigma_2$ , and  $f$  must be regular at  $\infty$ . This means that  $f$  is injective on the interiors of  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$ , and that the images of the interiors are disjoint. On the other hand Proposition 0.4 implies that  $f|_S$  is an immersion, and if it were not injective then the complement of  $f(S)$  could not consist of two open discs. So  $f$  is bijective. Finally,  $f^{-1}$  is holomorphic, as it is continuous, and is holomorphic on the complement of  $f(S)$ .

**Proof** of Lemma 0.7.

It is enough to prove that the kernel of  $p_\varphi : U \rightarrow V/W$  is 1-dimensional, where  $p_\varphi$  is the composite of  $\varphi^* : U \rightarrow V$  with the projection  $V \rightarrow V/W$ . But  $p_\varphi$  is Fredholm, and depends continuously on  $\varphi$ . By deforming  $\varphi$  continuously to the identity we see that  $p_\varphi$  has index 1, and so its kernel is non-zero. But elements of the kernel correspond — as in the proof of Proposition 0.6 — to pseudoholomorphic maps  $\Sigma \rightarrow S^2$ , and if the kernel had dimension greater than 1 we could find a non-constant map with no pole, contradicting the positivity of the degree.